July 22, 2021 at 04:24

1.* Intro. This program is part of a series of "SAT-solvers" that I'm putting together for my own education as I prepare to write Section 7.2.2.2 of *The Art of Computer Programming*. My intent is to have a variety of compatible programs on which I can run experiments to learn how different approaches work in practice.

Many of the previous implementations in this series—SAT0, SAT3, SAT4, SAT5, and SAT10—were based on a natural backtracking approach that has come to be known in the SAT community as the DPLL paradigm, honoring the pioneering work of Davis, Putnam, Logemann, and Loveland. Several decades of experience with that paradigm have led to an extremely efficient class of programs now called *lookahead solvers*, which devote considerable time to choosing the variables on which to branch. The extra work of making that choice might cost us a factor of a thousand, say, at every branch node; yet we might also decrease the number of nodes by a factor of a million, thus making a net thousand-fold gain. Somewhat to my surprise, this rosy prediction (contrary to what I had believed for many years) actually does work in practice: There are many SAT problems (especially those based on combinatorial tasks, as well as the academic yet appealing cases of unsatisfiable random 3SAT) for which judicious lookaheads outperform any other known method.

Consequently SAT11 is intended to represent a modern lookahead solver. I've based it largely on Marijn Heule's MARCH, which has been regularly classed with the world's best lookahead solvers for the last decade or so. I expect SAT11 to be the most ambitious program of this series, because it combines many advanced ideas that I wish to understand and to explain to the readers of *TAOCP*. On the other hand, I have not included all of the bells and whistles of MARCH; in particular, I've omitted the separate treatment of clause sets that represent linear equations mod 2, as well as the "limited discrepancy search" technique by which branches of the search tree are explored in a nonstandard order.

Actually this program is not SAT11 but SAT11K, an extension that handles general clauses; the original SAT11 limited itself to clauses of length three or less. You might want to read that program first, before getting into the extra complications of this one. (On the other hand, some aspects of this version are simpler. So take heart: You can handle SAT11K just fine.) Asterisks indicate differences between SAT11 and SAT11K.

If you have already read SAT10 (or some other program of this series), you might as well skip now past all the code for the "I/O wrapper," because you have seen it before.

The input on stdin is a series of lines with one clause per line. Each clause is a sequence of literals separated by spaces. Each literal is a sequence of one to eight ASCII characters between ! and }, inclusive, not beginning with $\tilde{\ }$, optionally preceded by $\tilde{\ }$ (which makes the literal "negative"). For example, Rivest's famous clauses on four variables, found in 6.5–(13) and 7.1.1–(32) of TAOCP, can be represented by the following eight lines of input:

Input lines that begin with \sim are ignored (treated as comments). The output will be ' \sim ' if the input clauses are unsatisfiable. Otherwise it will be a list of noncontradictory literals that cover each clause, separated by spaces. ("Noncontradictory" means that we don't have both a literal and its negation.) The input above would, for example, yield ' \sim '; but if the final clause were omitted, the output would be ' \sim x1 \sim x2 x3', in some order, possibly together with either x4 or \sim x4 (but not both). No attempt is made to find all solutions; at most one solution is given.

The running time in "mems" is also reported, together with the approximate number of bytes needed for data storage. One "mem" essentially means a memory access to a 64-bit word. (These totals don't include the time or space needed to parse the input or to format the output.)

2 INTRO SAT11 §2

```
2* So here's the structure of the program. (Skip ahead if you are impatient to see the interesting stuff.)
                                                                                                        /* count one mem */
\#define o mems ++
#define oo mems += 2
                                                                                                                          /* count two mems */
                                                                                                                              /* count three mems */
#define ooo mems += 3
#define O "%"
                                                                                       /* used for percent signs in format strings */
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include "gb_flip.h"
                                                                                                                                       /* a convenient abbreviation */
        typedef unsigned int uint;
        typedef unsigned long long ullng;
                                                                                                                                                                                /* ditto */
          \langle \text{Type definitions 5} \rangle;
          \langle \text{Global variables } 3^* \rangle;
         ⟨Subroutines 29⟩;
         main(\mathbf{int} \ argc, \mathbf{char} * argv[])
                  \textbf{register int} \ au, \ av, \ aw, \ h, \ i, \ j, \ jj, \ k, \ kk, \ l, \ ll, \ p, \ pp, \ q, \ qq, \ r, \ s, \ cia, \ cis, \ ci;
                  register int c, cc, hh, la, lp, ls, ola, ols, tla, tls, tll, sl, su, sv, sw;
                  register int t, tt, u, uu, v\theta, v, vv, w, ww, x, y, xl, pu, aa, ss, pv, ua, va;
                  \langle \text{Process the command line } 4^* \rangle;
                   \langle \text{Initialize everything } 8 \rangle;
                  \langle \text{Input the clauses 9} \rangle;
                  if (verbose & show_basics) (Report the successful completion of the input phase 22);
                  (Set up the main data structures 37);
                  imems = mems, mems = 0;
                  \langle Solve the problem 152*\rangle;
         done: if (verbose & show_basics)
                          \mathit{fprintf} \, (\mathit{stderr}, \texttt{"Altogether} \, \texttt{\_"}O \texttt{"llu+"}O \texttt{"llu} \, \texttt{\_mems}, \allowbreak \texttt{\_"}O \texttt{"llu} \, \texttt{\_bytes}, \allowbreak \texttt{\_"}O \texttt{"llu} \, \texttt{\_nodes}. \\ \texttt{\coloredge n}, \allowbreak \mathit{imems}, \allowbreak \mathsf{\coloredge n}, \allowbreak \mathsf{\colore
                                            mems, bytes, nodes);
        }
```

 $\S 3$ SAT11 INTRO 3

3.* The default values of parameters below have been tuned for a broad spectrum of SAT instances, based on tests by Holger Hoos in 2015.

```
#define show_basics 1
                            /* verbose code for basic stats */
#define show_choices 2
                            /* verbose code for backtrack logging */
#define show_details 4
                            /* verbose code for further commentary */
#define show_qory_details 8
                               /* verbose code for more yet */
                                       /* verbose code for still more */
#define show_doubly_gory_details 16
#define show_unused_vars 32
                                  /* verbose code to list variables not in solution */
#define show_big_clauses 64
                                 /* verbose code to print all big guys at beginning */
#define show_scores 64
                             /* verbose code to show the prelookahead scores */
#define show_strong_comps 128 /* verbose code to show strong components */
#define show_looks 256
                             /* verbose code to show the lookahead forest */
\langle Global variables 3*\rangle \equiv
  int random\_seed = 0;
                          /* seed for the random words of gb_rand */
                                                 /* level of verbosity */
  int \ verbose = show\_basics + show\_unused\_vars;
  int show\_choices\_max = 1000000;
                                    /* above this level, show_choices is ignored */
                   /* logarithm of the number of the hash lists */
  int hbits = 8:
  int print\_state\_cutoff = 32 * 80;
                                   /* don't print more than this many hists */
  int buf_size = 1024; /* must exceed the length of the longest input line */
  FILE *out_file;
                     /* file for optional output */
  char *out_name;
                      /* its name */
                         /* file for optional input */
  FILE *primary_file;
                         /* its name */
  char *primary_name;
                    /* the number of primary variables */
  int primary_vars;
  ullng imems, mems; /* mem counts */
  ullng bytes;
                /* memory used by main data structures */
  ullng nodes;
                 /* the number of nodes entered */
  ullng thresh = 0; /* report when mems exceeds this, if delta \neq 0 */
                    /* report every delta or so mems */
  ullng delta = 0;
  /* give up after this many mems */
                                          /* binary log of the maximum size of mem */
  uint memk\_max = memk\_max\_default;
  float alpha = 0.001;
                        /* magic constant for heuristic scores */
                         /* magic ratio for the clause reduction heuristic */
  float gamm = 0.20;
                       /* the optimization parameter theta, times 64 */
  int theta64 = 25;
  int levelcand = 600;
                         /* preselected candidates times levels */
  int mincutoff = 30;
                         /* don't cut off fewer than this many candidates */
                                 /* space available for arcs re strong components */
  int max\_prelook\_arcs = 5000;
  int dl_max_iter = 1;
                         /* maximum iterations of double-look */
  float dl\_rho = 0.9998;
                         /* damping factor for the double-look trigger */
See also sections 7^*, 24^*, 36, 48, 60, 67, 89, 108, 120, 124, 133, 141, and 164^*.
```

This code is used in section 2^* .

4 INTRO SAT11 §4

- **4*** On the command line one can specify any or all of the following options:
- ' \forall (integer)' to enable various levels of verbose output on *stderr*.
- 'c \(\text{positive integer} \)' to limit the levels on which clauses are shown.
- 'h' positive integer' 'to adjust the hash table size.

•

- 'H(positive integer)' to limit the literals whose histories are shown by *print_state*. 'b(positive integer)' to adjust the size of the input buffer.
- 's (integer)' to define the seed for any random numbers that are used.
- 'd integer' to set delta for periodic state reports. (See print_state.)
- 'm' (positive integer)' to adjust the maximum memory size. (The binary logarithm is specified; it must be at most 31.)
- 'a (positive float)' to adjust the magic constant α in heuristic scores.
- 'g(positive float)' to adjust the magic ratio γ in the clause reduction heuristic scores clause_weight [k].
- 't (positive integer)' to adjust the fraction $\theta = n/64$ that triggers clause rearrangement.
- 'p\' positive integer \'' to adjust the parameter levelcand, approximating "candidates times levels" during the preselection phase.
- 'q(positive integer)' to adjust the parameter *mincutoff*, the minimum cutoff on the number of candidates during preselection.
- ' \mathbf{z} (positive integer)' to adjust $max_prelook_arcs$, the maximum number of arcs retained when studying the reduced digraph during preselection.
- 'i \(\rangle \text{positive integer} \)' to adjust \(dl_max_iter\), the maximum number of iterations allowed during a double-lookahead.
- 'r \(\rangle\) positive float \(\rangle\)' to adjust dl_rho , the damping factor for $dl_trigger$.
- 'x\' filename \'' to copy the input plus a solution-eliminating clause to the specified file. If the given problem is satisfiable in more than one way, a different solution can be obtained by inputting that file.
- 'V(filename)' to input a file that lists the names of all "primary" variables. A nonprimary variable will not be used for branching unless its value is forced, or unless all of the primary variables have already been assigned a value.
- 'T (integer)' to set timeout: This program will abruptly terminate, when it discovers that mems > timeout.

```
\langle \text{Process the command line } 4^* \rangle \equiv
  for (j = argc - 1, k = 0; j; j - -)
     switch (argv[j][0]) {
     case 'v': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&verbose) - 1); break;
     \mathbf{case} \ \texttt{`c':} \ k \mid = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, \texttt{""}O\texttt{"d"}, \&show\_choices\_max) - 1); \ \mathbf{break};
     case 'H': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&print\_state\_cutoff) - 1); break;
     case 'h': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&hbits) - 1); break;
     \mathbf{case} \texttt{'b':} \ k \mid = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, \texttt{""}O\texttt{"d"}, \&\mathit{buf\_size}) - 1); \ \mathbf{break};
     case 's': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&random\_seed) - 1); break;
     case 'd': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"11d", \&delta) - 1); thresh = delta; break;
     case 'm': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&memk\_max) - 1); break;
     case 'a': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"f", \&alpha) - 1); break;
     case 'g': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"f", \&gamm) - 1); break;
     case 't': k = (sscanf(arqv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&theta64) - 1); break;
     case 'p': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&levelcand) - 1); break;
     case 'q': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \& mincutoff) - 1); break;
     \mathbf{case} \ \texttt{`z'} \colon k \mid = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, \texttt{""}O\texttt{"d"}, \&max\_prelook\_arcs) - 1); \ \mathbf{break};
     case 'i': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&dl\_max\_iter) - 1); break;
     \mathbf{case} \ \texttt{'r':} \ k \mid = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, \texttt{""}O\texttt{"f"}, \&dl\_rho) - 1); \ \mathbf{break};
     case 'x': out\_name = argv[j] + 1, out\_file = fopen(out\_name, "w");
       if (\neg out\_file) fprintf(stderr, "I_{\square}can't_{\square}open_{\square}file_{\square}'"O"s'_{\square}for_{\square}output!\n", out\_name);
       break;
     case 'V': primary\_name = argv[j] + 1, primary\_file = fopen(primary\_name, "r");
```

 $\S4$ SAT11 INTRO 5

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{if } (\neg primary\_file) \ fprintf(stderr, "I_{\sqcup}can't_{\sqcup}open_{\sqcup}file_{\sqcup}`"O"s'_{\sqcup}for_{\sqcup}input! \n", primary\_name); \\ \textbf{break}; \\ \textbf{case 'T':} \ k \models (sscanf(argv[j]+1, ""O"lld", \&timeout)-1); \ \textbf{break}; \\ \textbf{default:} \ k = 1; \ /* \ unrecognized \ command-line \ option \ */ \\ \} \\ \textbf{if } (k \lor hbits < 0 \lor hbits > 30 \lor buf\_size \le 0 \lor memk\_max < 2 \lor memk\_max > 31 \lor alpha \le 0.0 \lor gamm \le 0 \lor theta64 < 0 \lor levelcand \le 0 \lor mincutoff \le 0 \lor max\_prelook\_arcs \le 0 \lor dl\_max\_iter \le 0) \ \{ fprintf(stderr, "Usage:_{\sqcup}"O"s_{\sqcup}[v<n>]_{\sqcup}[c<n>]_{\sqcup}[h<n>]_{\sqcup}[b<n>]_{\sqcup}[s<n>]_{\sqcup}[d<n>]_{\sqcup}[m<n>]_{\sqcup}", argv[0]); \\ fprintf(stderr, "_{\sqcup}[H<n>]_{\sqcup}[g<f>]_{\sqcup}[a<f>]_{\sqcup}[t<n>]_{\sqcup}[p<n>]_{\sqcup}[q<n]_{\sqcup}[z<n>]"); \\ fprintf(stderr, "_{\sqcup}[i<n>]_{\sqcup}[r<f>]_{\sqcup}[x<foo>]_{\sqcup}[V<foo>]_{\sqcup}[T<n>]_{\sqcup}<understand one of the content of the co
```

This code is used in section 2^* .

6 THE I/O WRAPPER SAT11 §5

5. The I/O wrapper. The following routines read the input and absorb it into temporary data areas from which all of the "real" data structures can readily be initialized. My intent is to incorporate these routines into all of the SAT-solvers in this series. Therefore I've tried to make the code short and simple, yet versatile enough so that almost no restrictions are placed on the sizes of problems that can be handled. These routines are supposed to work properly unless there are more than $2^{32} - 1 = 4,294,967,295$ occurrences of literals in clauses, or more than $2^{31} - 1 = 2,147,483,647$ variables or clauses.

In these temporary tables, each variable is represented by four things: its unique name; its serial number; the clause number (if any) in which it has most recently appeared; and a pointer to the previous variable (if any) with the same hash address. Several variables at a time are represented sequentially in small chunks of memory called "vchunks," which are allocated as needed (and freed later).

```
/* preferably (2^k - 1)/3 for some k */
#define vars_per_vchunk 341
\langle \text{Type definitions 5} \rangle \equiv
  typedef union {
    char ch8 [8];
    uint u2[2];
    long long lng;
  } octa;
  typedef struct tmp_var_struct {
                     /* the name (one to eight ASCII characters) */
    octa name;
                     /* 0 for the first variable, 1 for the second, etc. */
                    /* m if positively in clause m; -m if negatively there */
    int stamp;
                                          /* pointer for hash list */
    struct tmp_var_struct *next;
  } tmp_var;
  typedef struct vchunk_struct {
    struct\ vchunk\_struct\ *prev;
                                         /* previous chunk allocated (if any) */
    tmp_var var[vars_per_vchunk];
  } vchunk;
See also sections 6, 26, 27*, 28, 34, 35, 88, 107, and 119.
This code is used in section 2*.
```

6. Each clause in the temporary tables is represented by a sequence of one or more pointers to the **tmp_var** nodes of the literals involved. A negated literal is indicated by adding 1 to such a pointer. The first literal of a clause is indicated by adding 2. Several of these pointers are represented sequentially in chunks of memory, which are allocated as needed and freed later.

```
#define cells\_per\_chunk 511 /* preferably 2^k - 1 for some k * /  (Type definitions 5) += typedef struct chunk_struct { struct chunk_struct *prev; /* previous chunk allocated (if any) */ tmp_var *cell[cells_per\_chunk]; } chunk;
```

 $\S7$ SAT11 THE I/O WRAPPER 7

```
\langle \text{Global variables } 3^* \rangle + \equiv
     char *buf;
                                         /* buffer for reading the lines (clauses) of stdin */
     tmp\_var **hash;
                                                        /* heads of the hash lists */
     uint hash\_bits[93][8];
                                                               /* random bits for universal hash function */
     vchunk *cur\_vchunk;
                                                                 /* the vchunk currently being filled */
                                                                  /* another pointer for vchunk manipulation */
     vchunk *last_vchunk;
                                                                       /* current place to create new tmp_var entries */
     tmp\_var * cur\_tmp\_var;
                                                                       /* the cur_tmp_var when we need a new vchunk */
     tmp\_var *bad\_tmp\_var;
     chunk *cur\_chunk;
                                                             /* the chunk currently being filled */
     tmp_var **cur_cell;
                                                               /* current place to create new elements of a clause */
     tmp\_var **bad\_cell;
                                                               /* the cur_cell when we need a new chunk */
     ullng vars;
                                          /* how many distinct variables have we seen? */
     ullng clauses;
                                                /* how many clauses have we seen? */
     ullng nullclauses;
                                                       /* how many of them were null? */
                                          /* how many occurrences of literals in clauses? */
     ullng cells;
                                                 /* how many clauses are big (have more than two literals)? */
     ullng bclauses;
                                            /* how many occurrences of literals in big clauses? */
     ullng bcells:
     int non_clause;
                                                 /* is the current clause ignorable? */
8. (Initialize everything 8) \equiv
     qb_init_rand(random_seed);
     buf = (\mathbf{char} *) \ malloc(buf\_size * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{char}));
     if (\neg buf) {
          fprintf(stderr, "Couldn't_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lallocate_{lall
          exit(-2);
     hash = (\mathbf{tmp\_var} **) \ malloc(\mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{tmp\_var}) \ll hbits);
          fprintf(stderr, "Couldn't_{\square}allocate_{\square}"O"d_{\square}hash_{\square}list_{\square}heads_{\square}(hbits="O"d)!\n", 1 \ll hbits, hbits);
          exit(-3);
     for (h = 0; h < 1 \ll hbits; h \leftrightarrow) hash[h] = \Lambda;
See also section 15.
This code is used in section 2*.
```

8 THE I/O WRAPPER SAT11 §9

9. The hash address of each variable name has h bits, where h is the value of the adjustable parameter hbits. Thus the average number of variables per hash list is $n/2^h$ when there are n different variables. A warning is printed if this average number exceeds 10. (For example, if h has its default value, 8, the program will suggest that you might want to increase h if your input has 2560 different variables or more.)

All the hashing takes place at the very beginning, and the hash tables are actually recycled before any SAT-solving takes place; therefore the setting of this parameter is by no means crucial. But I didn't want to bother with fancy coding that would determine h automatically.

```
\langle \text{Input the clauses 9} \rangle \equiv
     if (primary_file) \langle Input the primary variables 10 \rangle;
     while (1) {
            if (\neg fgets(buf, buf\_size, stdin)) break;
            clauses ++;
            if (buf[strlen(buf) - 1] \neq '\n') {
                  fprintf(stderr, "The \clause \cupon \cupul ine \cupu" O" 11d \cupu" 0" 20s...) \cupuis \cuput too \cupu for \cupu ; \n", clauses, \cupum clause \cupum cla
                  fprintf(stderr, "limylibuf_size_lis_lonly_l"O"d!\n", buf_size);
                  fprintf(stderr, "Please\_use\_the\_command-line\_option\_b<newsize>. \n");
                  exit(-4);
             \langle \text{ Input the clause in } buf 11^* \rangle;
     if (\neg primary\_file) primary\_vars = vars;
     if ((vars \gg hbits) \ge 10) {
            fprintf(stderr, "There\_are\_"O"lld\_variables\_but\_only\_"O"d\_hash\_tables; \n", vars, 1 \ll hbits);
            while ((vars \gg hbits) > 10) \ hbits ++;
            fprintf(stderr, "\_maybe\_you\_should\_use\_command-line\_option\_h"O"d?\n", hbits);
     clauses -= nullclauses;
     if (clauses \equiv 0) {
            fprintf(stderr, "No_{\square}clauses_{\square}were_{\square}input! \n");
            exit(-77);
     if (vars \ge *80000000) {
            fprintf(stderr, "Whoa, \_the\_input\_had\_"O"llu\_variables! \n", vars);
            exit(-664);
     if (clauses \ge #80000000) {
            fprintf(stderr, "Whoa, \_the \_input \_had \_"O"llu \_clauses! \n", clauses);
            exit(-665);
     if (cells \ge #10000000) {
            fprintf(stderr, "Whoa, \_the\_input\_had\_"O"llu\_occurrences\_of\_literals!\n", cells);
            exit(-666);
This code is used in section 2*.
```

 $\S10$ SAT11 THE I/O WRAPPER 9

10. We input from $primary_file$ just as if it were the standard input file, except that all "clauses" are discarded. (Line numbers in error messages are zero.) The effect is to place the primary variables first in the list of all variables: A variable is primary if and only if its index is $\leq primary_vars$.

```
\langle Input the primary variables 10\rangle \equiv
     while (1) {
       if (\neg fgets(buf, buf\_size, primary\_file)) break;
        if (buf[strlen(buf) - 1] \neq '\n') {
          fprintf(stderr, "The_{\sqcup}clause_{\sqcup}on_{\sqcup}line_{\sqcup}"O"lld_{\sqcup}("O".20s...)_{\sqcup}is_{\sqcup}too_{\sqcup}long_{\sqcup}for_{\sqcup}me; \n",
                clauses, buf);
          fprintf(stderr, "umyubuf_size_lis_lonly_l"O"d!\n", buf_size);
          fprintf(stderr, "Please use the command-line option b< new size >. \n");
           exit(-4);
        \langle \text{ Input the clause in } buf 11* \rangle;
        (Remove all variables of the current clause 19);
     cells = null clauses = 0;
     primary\_vars = vars;
     if (verbose & show_basics)
        fprintf(stderr, "("O"d_primary_variables_read_from_"O"s)\n", primary_vars, primary_name);
  }
This code is used in section 9.
11.* (Input the clause in buf 11*) \equiv
  for (j = k = non\_clause = 0; \neg non\_clause;) {
     while (buf[j] \equiv ' \Box') j++;
                                        /* scan to nonblank */
     if (buf[j] \equiv '\n') break;
     \mathbf{if}\ (\mathit{buf}[j] < \verb",", \lor \mathit{buf}[j] > \verb",",)\ \{
        fprintf(stderr, "Illegal \cup character \cup (code \cup #"O"x) \cup in \cup the \cup clause \cup on \cup line \cup "O"lld! \n",
             buf[j], clauses);
        exit(-5);
     if (buf[j] \equiv , \, , \, ) \ i = 1, j ++;
     else i=0:
     \langle Scan and record a variable; negate it if i \equiv 1 12\rangle;
  if (k \equiv 0 \land \neg non\_clause) {
     fprintf(stderr, "(Empty_line_l"O"lld_lis_lbeing_lignored)\n", clauses);
     nullclauses ++;
                           /* strictly speaking it would be unsatisfiable */
  if (non_clause) (Remove all variables of the current clause 19)
  else {
     if (k \ge 3) bclauses ++, bcells += k;
     if (k > max\_clause) max\_clause = k;
  cells += k;
This code is used in sections 9 and 10.
```

10 The I/O Wrapper Satii $\S12$

```
We need a hack to insert the bit codes 1 and/or 2 into a pointer value.
#define hack_in(q,t) (tmp_var *)(t | (ullng) q)
\langle Scan and record a variable; negate it if i \equiv 1 12\rangle \equiv
     register tmp_var *p;
     if (cur\_tmp\_var \equiv bad\_tmp\_var) (Install a new vchunk 13);
     \langle \text{Put the variable name beginning at } buf[j] \text{ in } cur\_tmp\_var \neg name \text{ and compute its hash code } h \text{ 16} \rangle;
     if (\neg non\_clause) {
        \langle \text{ Find } cur\_tmp\_var \neg name \text{ in the hash table at } p \text{ 17} \rangle;
        if (clauses \land (p \neg stamp \equiv clauses \lor p \neg stamp \equiv -clauses)) \land Handle a duplicate literal 18 \end{a}
        else {
           p \rightarrow stamp = (i ? -clauses : clauses);
           if (cur\_cell \equiv bad\_cell) (Install a new chunk 14);
           *cur\_cell = p;
           if (i \equiv 1) *cur\_cell = hack\_in(*cur\_cell, 1);
           if (k \equiv 0) *cur\_cell = hack\_in(*cur\_cell, 2);
           cur\_cell++, k++;
     }
  }
This code is used in section 11*.
13. \langle \text{Install a new vchunk } 13 \rangle \equiv
     register vchunk *new_vchunk;
     new\_vchunk = (\mathbf{vchunk} *) \ malloc(\mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{vchunk}));
     if (\neg new\_vchunk) {
        fprintf(stderr, "Can't_allocate_a_new_vchunk!\n");
        exit(-6);
     new\_vchunk \neg prev = cur\_vchunk, cur\_vchunk = new\_vchunk;
     cur\_tmp\_var = \&new\_vchunk \rightarrow var[0];
     bad\_tmp\_var = \&new\_vchunk \neg var[vars\_per\_vchunk];
  }
This code is used in section 12.
14. \langle \text{Install a new chunk } 14 \rangle \equiv
     register chunk *new_chunk;
     new\_chunk = (\mathbf{chunk} *) \ malloc(\mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{chunk}));
     if (\neg new\_chunk) {
        fprintf(stderr, "Can't_allocate_a_new_chunk!\n");
     new\_chunk \neg prev = cur\_chunk, cur\_chunk = new\_chunk;
     cur\_cell = \&new\_chunk \rightarrow cell[0];
     bad\_cell = \&new\_chunk \rightarrow cell[cells\_per\_chunk];
This code is used in section 12.
```

 $\S15$ SAT11 THE I/O WRAPPER 11

15. The hash code is computed via "universal hashing," using the following precomputed tables of random bits.

```
\langle Initialize everything 8 \rangle + \equiv
  for (j = 92; j; j--)
     for (k = 0; k < 8; k++) hash_bits[j][k] = qb\_next\_rand();
16. \(\rightarrow\) Put the variable name beginning at buf[j] in cur\_tmp\_var\_name and compute its hash code h 16\) \equiv
  cur\_tmp\_var \neg name.lng = 0;
  for (h = l = 0; buf[j + l] > ' ' ' \wedge buf[j + l] \leq ' ' '; l++) 
     if (l > 7) {
        fprintf(stderr, "Variable \_name \_"O".9s... \_in \_the \_clause \_on \_line \_"O"lld \_is \_too \_long! \n",
              buf + j, clauses);
        exit(-8);
     h \oplus = hash\_bits[buf[j+l] - '!'][l];
     cur\_tmp\_var \rightarrow name.ch8[l] = buf[j+l];
  if (l \equiv 0) non_clause = 1; /* '~' by itself is like 'true' */
  else j += l, h \&= (1 \ll hbits) - 1;
This code is used in section 12.
17. \langle \text{Find } cur\_tmp\_var \neg name \text{ in the hash table at } p \text{ 17} \rangle \equiv
  for (p = hash[h]; p; p = p \rightarrow next)
     if (p \neg name.lng \equiv cur\_tmp\_var \neg name.lng) break;
  if (\neg p) { /* new variable found */
     p = cur\_tmp\_var ++;
     p \rightarrow next = hash[h], hash[h] = p;
     p \rightarrow serial = vars ++;
     p \rightarrow stamp = 0;
  }
This code is used in section 12.
```

18. The most interesting aspect of the input phase is probably the "unwinding" that we might need to do when encountering a literal more than once in the same clause.

This code is used in section 12.

12 The I/O Wrapper Satii $\S19$

19. An input line that begins with ' \sim _{\square}' is silently treated as a comment. Otherwise redundant clauses are logged, in case they were unintentional. (One can, however, intentionally use redundant clauses to force the order of the variables.)

```
\langle Remove all variables of the current clause 19\rangle \equiv
            while (k) {
                  \langle \text{Move } cur\_cell \text{ backward to the previous cell } 20 \rangle;
            if (non\_clause \land ((buf[0] \neq `, `, `) \lor (buf[1] \neq `, `, `)))
                  fprintf(stderr, "(The \ clause \ on \ line \ "O" lld \ is \ always \ satisfied) \ n", clauses);
            nullclauses ++;
This code is used in sections 10 and 11*.
20. \langle \text{Move } cur\_cell \text{ backward to the previous cell } 20 \rangle \equiv
      if (cur\_cell > \& cur\_chunk \neg cell[0]) \ cur\_cell ---;
      else {
            register chunk *old\_chunk = cur\_chunk;
            cur\_chunk = old\_chunk \neg prev; free(old\_chunk);
            bad\_cell = \&cur\_chunk \neg cell[cells\_per\_chunk];
            cur\_cell = bad\_cell - 1;
This code is used in sections 19 and 41*.
21. Here I must omit 'free(old_vchunk)' from the code that's usually in this section, because the variable
data will be used later.
\langle \text{Move } cur\_tmp\_var \text{ backward to the previous temporary variable 21} \rangle \equiv
      if (cur\_tmp\_var > \& cur\_vchunk \neg var[0]) cur\_tmp\_var ---;
      else {
            register vchunk *old\_vchunk = cur\_vchunk;
            cur\_vchunk = old\_vchunk \neg prev;
                                                                                                            /* and don't free(old_vchunk) */
            bad\_tmp\_var = \& cur\_vchunk \neg var[vars\_per\_vchunk];
            cur\_tmp\_var = bad\_tmp\_var - 1;
This code is used in section 46.
             \langle Report the successful completion of the input phase 22 \rangle \equiv
      fprintf(stderr, "("O"lld_variables, "O"lld_vclauses, "O"llu_literals_successfully_read)\n", for the context of the context o
                  vars, clauses, cells);
```

This code is used in section 2^* .

- 23. SAT solving, version 11. A lookahead solver explores a binary tree of possibilities by choosing, at every decision node, a variable x for which the node's subtrees correspond to asserting x or \bar{x} . Several more-or-less independent activities are part of this process:
- (1) Preselection. At each decision node we choose a subset P of the unassigned variables, based on our best guess as to which of them might be good candidates for further exploration.
- (2) Selection. We look ahead at the immediate consequences of asserting the truth and falsity of each variable in P. Then we choose the variable that appears to reduce the problem most efficiently.
- (3) Propagation. We update the current state of the problem by incorporating all consequences of a new assertion.
- (4) Backtracking. When a contradiction arises in some branch, we must undo the effects of propagation and move to an unexplored branch of the tree.

Each of these activities, except thankfully the last, involves many individual steps.

In some sense this program represents an attitude: We're not afraid to throw code at the problem.

SAT11

14

24.* Quite a few cooperating data structures are needed to do all these things at high speed. I shall therefore try to summarize the main ones here.

First, we need to represent the fact that variable x is true, false, or unknown. In fact, we must also deal with intermediate stages by which x is known with various degrees of certainty, based on tentative assumptions that we've made during the lookahead or propagation process. Every variable therefore has an integer stamp, which is even if x is true, odd if x is false, and relatively large if the value is relatively certain. Setting the stamp to 0 makes x absolutely unknown; setting the stamp to the highest possible values $real_truth$ or $real_truth + 1$ makes it absolutely true or false. Setting the stamp to an intermediate value like 100 makes x true when the "current stamp" cs is 2, 4, ..., 100, but unknown when cs > 100. (The value of cs is always even, and it never exceeds known.)

Second, we need quick access to the consequences of binary clauses. A binary clause $l \vee l'$ is equivalent to two direct implications $\bar{l} \to l'$ and $\bar{l}' \to l$, and the set of all such implications forms a digraph called the implication graph. The *bimp* data structure makes it easy to find all literals that are directly implied by any given literal. (And since $\bar{l} \to l'$ if and only if $\bar{l}' \to l$, it's equally easy to find all literals that *directly imply* any given literal.) New binary implications are learned and added to *bimp* as computation proceeds, and they are stored sequentially in memory; therefore the individual lists are allocated dynamically, within a large array called *mem*, using the "buddy system" (Algorithm 2.5R).

Third, we need a good way to manipulate the "big clauses," namely the clauses that contain three or more literals. Two arrays called cinx and kinx, which are indexes into two larger arrays called cmem and kmem, govern this aspect of the problem: cinx[c] tells where the literals of clause c are listed in cmem, while kinx[l] tells where the clauses that contain a given literal l are listed in kmem. All four of these arrays are allocated once and for all before the main computation begins.

Fourth, there's a sequential list *freevar* of all variables not currently assigned, and an inverse list *freeloc* to tell where a particular variable appears in *freevar*.

Fifth, sixth, etc., there are a bunch of more conventional data structures: Attributes of literal l appear in lmem[l]; attributes of variable x appear in vmem[x]. The rstack holds the names of literals in the order they have been (tentatively) set. The istack holds the names of variables whose bimp entries have grown, together with the value needed to ungrow them when we undo a decision. The nstack contains information about nodes of the decision tree that have led to the current state. Later we will define a number of special data structures for use in parts of this program that are essentially self-contained.

```
\langle \text{Global variables } 3^* \rangle + \equiv
  \mathbf{uint} *stamp;
                    /* the current levels of truth, falsity, and uncertainty */
                   /* master array of buddy-allocated blocks for bimp lists */
  uint *mem;
  bdata *bimp;
                     /* indexes into mem for lists of binary implications */
  uint *cmem, *kmem;
                             /* master arrays for cinx and kinx data */
                           /* indexes into cmem and kmem for the big clause info */
  tdata *cinx, *kinx;
                     /* holding place for big clauses that become binary or unary */
  tpair *bstack;
               /* the number of elements used in bstack */
  int bptr;
  int max_use;
                   /* the maximum number of times any literal occurs */
                    /* master array of blocks for timp lists */
  tpair *tmem;
                   /* indexes into tmem for lists of ternary implications */
  tdata *timp;
  uint *freevar, *freeloc;
                            /* perm of the variables from free to assigned */
  int freevars;
                   /* how many of the variables are still free (unassigned)? */
                    /* stack and queue for backtracking and unit propagation */
  uint *rstack;
  int rptr;
               /* the number of elements used in rstack */
                     /* bimp sizes to be undone if necessary */
  idata *istack;
               /* the number of elements used in istack */
  int iptr;
  int iptr_max;
                    /* largest iptr currently allocated in virtual memory */
  \mathbf{ndata} * nstack;
                      /* node information */
                /* current depth in the decision tree */
  int level;
                     /* attributes of literals */
  literal *lmem;
  variable *vmem;
                      /* attributes of variables */
```

25.* The variables are numbered $1, 2, \ldots, n$, and the literals corresponding to variable x are 2x and 2x + 1 (namely x and \bar{x}). Thus the variable that corresponds to literal l is $l \gg 1$, and the complement of literal l is $l \oplus 1$. (Previous programs of this series started the numbering at 0, not 1, in accord with Dijkstra's famous dictum. But we shall find it convenient to reserve the value 0 for use as a sentinel.)

Some arrays (like stamp and freevar) are indexed by variable numbers, while others (like bimp and kinx) are indexed by literal numbers. In order to reduce the chance of confusion between the two numbering schemes, variables in the code below will generally be represented by the letters x, y, or z; literals will generally be represented by l, u, v, or w.

```
#define thevar(l) ((l) \gg 1) /* the variable that corresponds to l */#define bar(l) ((l) \oplus 1) /* the complement of l */#define poslit(x) ((x) \ll 1) /* the literal x */#define neglit(x) (((x) \ll 1) + 1) /* the literal \bar{x} */
```

26. An entry in the *bimp* table has four parts: addr is the address in mem where the list of implications begins; size is the current length of that list; alloc is the number of memory positions currently available at the given address; and alloc always equals 2^k , where k is the fourth field. (Thus we always have $size \leq alloc$. The value of k is always at least 2, hence alloc is always at least 4. As the computation proceeds, alloc might increase, but it never will decrease.)

When mems are counted, we assume that addr and size are fetched or stored together; hence we can access them both at the cost of just one mem. Similarly, alloc and k are assumed to be in the same octabyte of memory.

An entry in the istack has two parts: lit is the literal l whose bimp entry is to be restored; size is the amount to be placed in bimp[l].size.

```
\langle \text{Type definitions 5} \rangle + \equiv
  typedef struct bdata_struct {
                    /* starting place of a sequential list in mem */
    uint addr;
                   /* its current length */
    uint alloc;
                    /* maximum length before reallocation is necessary */
                 /* lg alloc */
    uint k;
  } bdata;
  typedef struct idata_struct {
                  /* the l whose size in bimp was changed */
    uint lit:
                   /* its previous size */
    uint size:
  } idata;
```

27.* An entry in cinx has two parts: addr is the address in cmem where the list of literals for a given clause begins; size is initially the length of that list. When literals of a clause become true or false, the size field is adjusted in a somewhat tricky way, explained below within the sanity routine. The literals of the input clauses are loaded backwards into cmem, so that we have cinx[c].addr + cinx[c].size = cinx[c-1].addr when computation begins.

An entry in kinx is, likewise, bipartite: addr is the address in kmem where the list of clauses numbers for a given literal begins, and size is the current length of that list. If l is a free literal (namely a literal whose value has not been assigned true or false), kinx[l].size will be the number of clauses that contain l and are not yet satisfied.

When a big clause is reduced to binary, because all but two of its literals have become false while none have become true, we will place it briefly on the *bstack*, whose entries are pairs of literals.

```
⟨Type definitions 5⟩ +≡
typedef struct tdata_struct {
   uint addr; /* starting place of a sequential list in mem */
   uint size; /* its current length */
} tdata; /* one octabyte */
typedef struct tpair_struct {
   uint u, v; /* a pair of literals */
} tpair; /* one octabyte */
```

28. An entry in *nstack* has the following fields: *decision* records the literal whose truth is being tentatively asserted; *branch* is 0 in the first branch, or 1 if that branch failed; *rptr* and *iptr* record the initial values of those stack pointers when the node was initialized; *lptr* records the initial value of *rptr* when lookahead for the next level began.

```
⟨Type definitions 5⟩ +≡
typedef struct ndata_struct {
   uint decision; /* the literal chosen at this branch */
   int branch; /* did we try and fail to set it the other way? */
   int rptr, iptr, lptr; /* initial values of stack pointers */
} ndata;
```

29. Here is a subroutine that prints the binary implicant data for a given literal. (Used only when debugging.)

```
 \langle \text{Subroutines 29} \rangle \equiv \\ \textbf{void } print\_bimp(\textbf{int } l) \\  \{ \\ \textbf{register uint } la, \ ls; \\ printf(""O"s"O".8s_{\square} -> ", litname(l)); \\ \textbf{for } (la = bimp[l].addr, ls = bimp[l].size; \ ls; \ la++, ls--) \ printf("_{\square}"O"s"O".8s", litname(mem[la])); \\ printf("\n"); \\ \}
```

See also sections 30^* , 31^* , 33, 50, 61, and 154.

This code is used in section 2^* .

```
Similarly, the current data for big clauses gives useful diagnostic info.
\langle Subroutines 29\rangle + \equiv
     void print_clause(int c)
            register uint la, ls;
            printf (""O"d:", c);
            for (la = cinx[c].addr; la < cinx[c-1].addr; la++) printf("_\"O"s"O".8s"O"s",
                              litname(cmem[la]), isfree(cmem[la])?"": iscontrary(cmem[la])?"-": "+");
            printf(" \cup ("O"d) \setminus n", cinx[c].size);
     void print_kinx(int l)
            register uint la, ls;
            printf("kinx["O"s"O".8s]:", litname(l));
            for (la = kinx[l].addr, ls = kinx[l].size; ls; la++, ls--) printf("\sqcup"O"d", kmem[la]);
            printf("\n");
     void print_full_kinx(int l)
           register uint la, k;
            printf("kinx["O"s"O".8s]:", litname(l));
            for (la = kinx[l].addr, k = 0; k < kinx[l].size; k++) printf("\"O"d", kmem[la + k]);
            if (la + k \neq kinx[l-1].addr) {
                                                                /* show also the inactive clauses */
                  printf ("⊔#");
                  {\bf for} \ ( \ ; \ la+k < kinx[l-1].addr; \ k+\!\!\!\!+) \ \ printf(" \_ "O" {\tt d}", kmem[la+k]);
           printf("\n");
31.* Speaking of debugging, here's a routine to check if the redundant parts of our data structure have gone
awry.
                                                                                       /* set this to 1 if you suspect a bug */
#define sanity_checking 0
\langle Subroutines 29\rangle + \equiv
     void sanity(void)
            register int c, j, k, l, la, ls, p, q, u, v;
            for (k = 0; k < vars; k++) {
                 if (freevar[freeloc[k+1]] \neq k+1) fprintf(stderr, "freeloc["O"d] \sqcup is \sqcup wrong! \n", k+1);
                 if (freeloc[freevar[k]] \neq k) fprintf(stderr, "freevar["O"d]_is_wrong!\n", k);
            for (k = 0; k < rptr; k++) {
                 l = rstack[k];
                 \textbf{if} \ (\textit{freeloc[thevar}(l)] < \textit{freevars}) \ \textit{fprintf} \ (\textit{stderr}, \texttt{"literal} \_ \texttt{"}O \texttt{"d} \_ \texttt{on} \_ \texttt{rstack} \_ \texttt{is} \_ \texttt{free!} \\ \texttt{\coloredge n} 
            if (rptr + freevars \neq vars)
                  fprintf(stderr, "rptr="O"d, _ freevars="O"d, _ vars="O"lld\n", rptr, freevars, vars);
             \langle Check the sanity of bimp and mem 49\rangle;
             \langle Check the sanity of cinx and cmem, kinx and kmem 32^*\rangle;
```

32* A big clause $c = l_1 \lor \cdots \lor l_k$ for $k \ge 3$ begins unsatisfied, and its initial size is k. Later, after j of its literals have become false but none of them have yet become true, the size will be k-j, as long as $k-j \ge 2$. (The nonfalse literals needn't be adjacent in memory at such times; we only need to know that the residual clause is still big.) But when j reaches k-2, or when one of the literals becomes true, clause c becomes inactive: It disappears from the kinx tables of all free literals. Henceforth the elements of c will not be examined again in cmem until we undo the setting of the literal that inactivated c.

Thus a clause is inactive if and only if it has been satisfied (contains a true literal) or has become binary (has at most two nonfalse literals). The program here marks inactive clauses by temporarily complementing their *size* fields, so that we can validate the *kinx* data.

```
\langle Check the sanity of cinx and cmem, kinx and kmem 32^*\rangle \equiv
  for (c = bclauses; c; c--) {
     for (la = cinx[c].addr, k = ls = cinx[c-1].addr - la, j = 0; ls; la++, ls--) {
       l = cmem[la];
       if (isfree(l)) continue;
                                       /* neither true nor false */
                                      /* false */
       if (iscontrary(l)) j \leftrightarrow ;
       else goto inactive;
                                   /* true */
     if (j \ge k - 2) {
       if (cinx[c].size \neq 2) fprintf(stderr, "ex-big_uclause_u"O"d_uhas_usize_u"O"d!\n", c, cinx[c].size);
       goto inactive;
     if (cinx[c].size \neq k - j)
       fprintf(stderr, "big_{\sqcup}clause_{\sqcup}"O"d_{\sqcup}has_{\sqcup}size_{\sqcup}"O"d_{\sqcup}not_{\sqcup}"O"d\setminus n", c, cinx[c].size, k-j);
  inactive: cinx[c].size = \sim cinx[c].size;
  for (l = 2; l < badlit; l++)
     if (isfree(l)) {
       for (la = kinx[l].addr, ls = kinx[l].size; ls; la++, ls--) {
          c = kmem[la];
          if ((int) cinx[c].size < 0)
            fprintf(stderr, \text{"kinx}["O"s"O".8s]_includes\_active\_clause\_"O"d! \n", litname(l), c);
       for (; la < kinx[l-1].addr; la ++) {
          c = kmem[la];
          if ((int) cinx[c].size \ge 0)
            fprintf(stderr, "kinx["O"s"O".8s] \sqcup omits \sqcup active \sqcup clause \sqcup "O"d! \n", litname(l), c);
  for (c = bclauses; c; c--)
     if ((int) cinx[c].size < 0) cinx[c].size = \sim cinx[c].size;
This code is used in section 31*.
```

In long runs it's helpful to know how far we've gotten. A numeric code summarizes each decision made so far: 0 or 1 means that we're trying to set a variable true or false, on the first branch of a node ("branch 0"); 2 or 3 is similar, but on the second branch ("branch 1"); 4 or 5 is similar, but when the decision was forced by the decision at the previous branch node; 6 or 7 is similar, but when the decision was found to be forced while looking ahead for the next literal on which to branch.

```
\langle Subroutines 29\rangle + \equiv
  void print_state(int lev)
  {
     register int k, r;
     fprintf(stderr, "\_after\_"O"lld\_mems: ", mems);
     for (k = r = 0; k < lev; k++) {
        \textbf{for} \ ( \ ; \ r < nstack[k].rptr; \ r++) \ \textit{fprintf} \ (stderr, ""O"c", `6` + (rstack[r] \ \& \ 1));
        if (nstack[k].branch < 0) fprintf(stderr, "|");
        \mathbf{else} \ \mathit{fprintf} \, (\mathit{stderr}, \verb"""O"c", \verb"'0" + (\mathit{rstack}[r++] \& 1) + (\mathit{nstack}[k].\mathit{branch} \ll 1));
        \textbf{for (}; \ r < nstack[k+1].lptr; \ r++) \ \textit{fprintf(stderr,""O"c",'4'+(rstack[r] \& 1))};
        if (k \geq print\_state\_cutoff) {
           fprintf(stderr, "..."); break;
     fprintf(stderr, "\n");
     fflush(stderr);
```

Each literal has an entry in *lmem*, containing many fields. We will introduce them from time to time

```
as we use them.
\langle \text{Type definitions 5} \rangle + \equiv
  typedef struct lit_struct {
                   /* order of appearance in Tarjan's algorithm */
    int rank;
    int link;
                  /* pointer to another literal */
                       /* progress record in Tarjan's algorithm */
    int untagged;
    int min;
                  /* magically important data for Tarjan's algorithm */
    int parent;
                    /* predecessor in Tarjan's algorithm */
                    /* component representation in Tarjan's algorithm */
    int vcomp;
                  /* pointer to the first successor entry in the cand_arc array */
    int arcs;
    uint bstamp;
                      /* stamped with bstamp when processing new binaries */
    uint dl_fail;
                      /* stamped with istamp when doublelook didn't force this */
    uint istamp;
                      /* stamped with istamp when making an entry for istack */
                    /* total weighted new binaries, including implied literals */
    float wnb;
    uint filler;
                    /* extra space to fill six octabytes */
  } literal;
35. Similarly, each variable has an entry in vmem, where three fields appear.
#define litname(l) (l) & 1? "~": "", vmem[thevar(l)].name.ch8
                                                                          /* used in printouts */
\langle \text{Type definitions 5} \rangle + \equiv
  typedef struct var_struct {
                     /* the variable's symbolic name */
    int pfx, len;
                      /* prefix of its first useful appearance in the search tree */
  } variable;
```

20

36. Initializing the real data structures. We're ready now to convert the temporary chunks of data into the form we want, and to recycle those chunks. The code below is, of course, similar to what has worked in previous programs of this series.

```
⟨ Global variables 3*⟩ +≡
uint lits; /* how many literals are present? */
uint badlit; /* one more than the highest literal number */

37. ⟨ Set up the main data structures 37⟩ ≡
lits = vars ≪ 1, badlit = lits + 2;
last_vchunk = cur_vchunk;
⟨ Allocate the main arrays 38*⟩;
⟨ Copy all the temporary variable nodes to the vmem array in proper format 46⟩;
⟨ Copy all the temporary cells to the bimp, mem, cinx, cmem, kinx, and kmem arrays in proper format 40*⟩;
⟨ Check consistency 47⟩;
⟨ Allocate special arrays 58⟩;
This code is used in section 2*.
```

38.* We randomize the initial order of *freevars*, so that different seeds can produce different results (for instance on satisfiable problems).

```
\langle Allocate the main arrays 38* \rangle \equiv
  stamp = (\mathbf{uint} *) \ malloc((vars + 1) * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{uint}));
  if (\neg stamp) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, Lican't Lallocate the stamp array!\n");
     exit(-10);
  bytes += (vars + 1) * sizeof(uint);
  bimp = (\mathbf{bdata} *) \ malloc(badlit * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{bdata}));
  if (\neg bimp) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, I_{\square}can't_{\square}allocate_{\square}the_{\square}bimp_{\square}array!\n");
     exit(-10);
  bytes += badlit * sizeof(bdata);
  \langle \text{Initialize } mem \text{ with empty } bimp \text{ lists 57} \rangle;
  cinx = (\mathbf{tdata} *) \ malloc((bclauses + 1) * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{tdata}));
  if (\neg cinx) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup} can't_{\sqcup} allocate_{\sqcup} the_{\sqcup} cinx_{\sqcup} array! \n");
     exit(-10);
  bytes += (bclauses + 1) * sizeof(tdata);
  cmem = (\mathbf{uint} *) \ malloc(bcells * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{uint}));
  if (\neg cmem) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup}can't_{\sqcup}allocate_{\sqcup}the_{\sqcup}cmem_{\sqcup}array! \n");
     exit(-10);
  kinx = (\mathbf{tdata} *) \ malloc(badlit * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{tdata}));
  if (\neg kinx) {
     exit(-10);
  bytes += badlit * sizeof(tdata);
  kmem = (\mathbf{uint} *) \ malloc(bcells * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{uint}));
  if (\neg kmem) {
     exit(-10);
  bytes += bcells * sizeof(uint);
  freevar = (\mathbf{uint} *) \ malloc(vars * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{uint}));
  if (\neg freevar) {
     exit(-10);
  bytes += vars * sizeof(uint);
  freeloc = (\mathbf{uint} *) \ malloc((vars + 1) * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{uint}));
  if (\neg freeloc) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup} can't_{\sqcup} allocate_{\sqcup} the_{\sqcup} freeloc_{\sqcup} array! \n");
     exit(-10);
  bytes += (vars + 1) * sizeof(uint);
```

22

```
\begin{aligned} &\textbf{for}\ (k=0;\ k< vars;\ k++)\ \{\\ &mems\ +=4, j=gb\_unif\_rand(k+1);\\ &\textbf{if}\ (j\neq k)\ \{\\ &o,i=freevar[j];\\ &oo,freevar[k]=i,freeloc[i]=k;\\ &oo,freevar[j]=k+1,freeloc[k+1]=j;\\ &\textbf{}\ \textbf{else}\ oo,freevar[k]=k+1,freeloc[k+1]=k;\\ &\textbf{}\ freevars=vars;\\ &\textbf{See}\ also\ section\ 39. \end{aligned} This code is used in section 37.
```

39. Although the rstack is used rather heavily, for breadth-first searches, a literal and its complement never both appear. Therefore the total size of the rstack should never exceed the number of variables.

```
\langle Allocate the main arrays 38*\rangle + \equiv
  rstack = (\mathbf{uint} *) \ malloc((vars + 1) * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{uint}));
  if (\neg rstack) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup}can't_{\sqcup}allocate_{\sqcup}the_{\sqcup}rstack_{\sqcup}array! \n");
     exit(-10);
  bytes += (vars + 1) * sizeof(uint);
  nstack = (\mathbf{ndata} *) \ malloc((vars + 1) * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{ndata}));
  if (\neg nstack) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup} can't_{\sqcup} allocate_{\sqcup} the_{\sqcup} nstack_{\sqcup} array! \n");
     exit(-10);
  bytes += (vars + 1) * sizeof(ndata);
  lmem = (literal *) malloc(badlit * sizeof(literal));
  if (\neg lmem) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup}can't_{\sqcup}allocate_{\sqcup}the_{\sqcup}lmem_{\sqcup}array! \n");
     exit(-10);
  bytes += badlit * sizeof(literal);
  for (l=2; l < badlit; l++) oo, lmem[l].dl\_fail = lmem[l].bstamp = lmem[l].istamp = 0;
  vmem = (variable *) malloc((vars + 1) * sizeof(variable));
  if (\neg vmem) {
     exit(-10);
  bytes += (vars + 1) * sizeof(variable);
  forcedlit = (\mathbf{uint} *) \ malloc(vars * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{uint}));
  if (\neg forcedlit) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, __I__can't_allocate_the_forcedlit_array!\n");
     exit(-10);
  bytes += vars * sizeof(uint);
```

```
Copy all the temporary cells to the bimp, mem, cinx, cmem, kinx, and kmem arrays in proper
        format 40^* \rangle \equiv
                                            /* prepare for possible unary clauses */
  forcedlits = 0, cs = proto\_truth;
  for (l = 2; l < badlit; l++) o, kinx[l].addr = kinx[l].size = 0; /* clear the counts */
  for (c = clauses, k = 0, cc = bclauses; c; c--) {
     la = k:
     \langle Insert the cells for the literals of clause c 41*\rangle;
  cinx[0].addr = k;
  if (k \neq bcells \lor cc) confusion("cmem");
  \langle \text{Build } kinx \text{ and } kmem \text{ from the stored big clauses } 44^* \rangle;
                                       /* complete the copy of input clauses */
  if (out_file) fflush(out_file);
This code is used in section 37.
41.* The basic idea is to "unwind" the steps that we went through while building up the chunks.
\#define \ \textit{hack\_out}(q) \ (((\mathbf{ullng}) \ q) \& \ ^{\#}3)
#define hack\_clean(q) ((tmp_var *)((ullng) q \& -4))
(Insert the cells for the literals of clause c 41*) \equiv
  for (i = j = 0; i < 2;)
     \langle \text{Move } cur\_cell \text{ backward to the previous cell 20} \rangle;
     i = hack\_out(*cur\_cell);
     p = hack\_clean(*cur\_cell) \rightarrow serial;
     p += p + (i \& 1);
     o, cmem[k++] = p + 2, j++;
     oo, kinx[p+2].size ++;
  if (out_file) {
     \textbf{for } (jj = 0; \ jj < j; \ jj ++) \ fprintf(out\_file, " \sqcup "O" \texttt{s}"O" . \texttt{8s}", litname(cmem[la + jj]));
     fprintf(out\_file, "\n");
  if (j < 3) {
                  /* not big */
     k = la, u = cmem[la];
     oo, kinx[u].size ---;
     if (j \equiv 2) {
        oo, v = cmem[la + 1], kinx[v].size ---;
        \langle Store a binary clause in bimp 43\rangle;
     } else \langle Store a unary clause in forcedlit 42^*\rangle;
  } else o, cinx[cc].addr = la, cinx[cc].size = k - la, cc ---;
This code is used in section 40^*.
```

42.* Unary clauses in the input might be repeated or contradictory. Thus we must be careful not to overstep the bounds of the forcedlit array. The addr fields in kinx are borrowed here, temporarily, so that no variable is forced twice.

```
\langle Store a unary clause in forcedlit 42*\rangle \equiv
     if (o, kinx[u].addr \equiv 0) {
       if (o, kinx[bar(u)].addr) {
         if (verbose & show_choices) fprintf(stderr,
                 "Unary_clause_"O"d\contradicts_unary_clause_"O"d\n", c, kinx[bar(u)]. addr);
         goto unsat;
       o, kinx[u].addr = c;
       o, forcedlit[forcedlits ++] = u;
This code is used in section 41*.
43. \langle Store a binary clause in bimp 43\rangle \equiv
     o, la = bimp[bar(u)].addr, ls = bimp[bar(u)].size;
     if (o, ls \equiv bimp[bar(u)].alloc) resize (bar(u)), o, la = bimp[bar(u)].addr;
     oo, mem[la + ls] = v, bimp[bar(u)].size = ls + 1;
     o, la = bimp[bar(v)].addr, ls = bimp[bar(v)].size;
     if (o, ls \equiv bimp[bar(v)].alloc) resize(bar(v)), o, la = bimp[bar(v)].addr;
     oo, mem[la + ls] = u, bimp[bar(v)].size = ls + 1;
This code is used in section 41*.
44* (Build kinx and kmem from the stored big clauses 44^*)
  max\_use = 0;
  for (j = 0, l = badlit - 1; l \ge 2; l - -) {
     oo, kinx[l].addr = j, jj = kinx[l].size, j += jj, kinx[l].size = 0;
     if (jj > max\_use) max\_use = jj;
                           /* we'll have kinx[l].addr + kinx[l].size = kinx[l-1].addr */
  o, kinx[l].addr = j;
  if (j \neq bcells) confusion("kinx1");
  for (c = bclauses, j = 0; c; c \rightarrow)
     for (o, k = cinx[c].size; k; k--) {
       o, u = cmem[j++];
       o, la = kinx[u].addr, ls = kinx[u].size;
       o, kmem[la + ls] = c;
       o, kinx[u].size = ls + 1;
  if (j \neq bcells) confusion("kinx2");
  \langle \text{ Allocate } bstack | 45^* \rangle;
This code is used in section 40*.
```

```
45* \langle Allocate bstack | 45* \rangle \equiv
  bstack = (\mathbf{tpair} *) \ malloc(max\_use * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{tpair}));
  if (\neg bstack) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I \sqcup can't \sqcup allocate \sqcup the \sqcup bstack \sqcup array! \n");
  bytes += max\_use * sizeof(tpair);
This code is used in section 44*.
46. Copy all the temporary variable nodes to the vmem array in proper format 46 \ge 10^{-2}
  for (c = vars; c; c \rightarrow)
     (Move cur_tmp_var backward to the previous temporary variable 21);
     o, vmem[c].name.lng = cur\_tmp\_var \neg name.lng;
     o, vmem[c].len = vars + 1; /* "infinitely long" prefix */
This code is used in section 37.
47. We should now have unwound all the temporary data chunks back to their beginnings.
\langle \text{Check consistency 47} \rangle \equiv
  \mathbf{if} \ (\mathit{cur\_cell} \neq \&\mathit{cur\_chunk} \neg \mathit{cell} [0] \lor \mathit{cur\_chunk} \neg \mathit{prev} \neq \Lambda \lor
           cur\_tmp\_var \neq \& cur\_vchunk \neg var[0] \lor cur\_vchunk \neg prev \neq \Lambda) confusion("consistency");
  free (cur_chunk);
  for (cur_vchunk = last_vchunk; cur_vchunk; cur_vchunk = last_vchunk) {
     last\_vchunk = cur\_vchunk \neg prev;
     free(cur\_vchunk);
This code is used in section 37.
```

26 BUDDY SYSTEM REDUX SAT11 §48

48. Buddy system redux. Here's a version of Algorithms 2.5R and 2.5D that is appropriate for the operations we need to do in *bimp*.

Each block of mem has size 2^k for some k > 1, and it begins at an address that is a multiple of 2^k . A reserved block begins with an unsigned **int** that is less than 2^{31} ; a free block begins with an unsigned **int** that is $\geq 2^{31}$ (thus its "sign" bit is 1). In fact, the first two words of the free block starting at b are the complements of pointers in a doubly linked list, and we call them linkf and linkb. The third word of such a block, called kval, contains the value of k when the block size is 2^k ; and the "buddy" of such a block b begins at location $b \oplus (1 \ll k)$. There is a doubly linked list for free blocks of each possible size 2^k , with header node mem[avail(k)].

When mems are counted, we assume that linkf and linkb are accessed simultaneously as part of the same octabyte.

We begin by allocating $1 \ll memk_max$ entries to the mem array. But we maintain a variable memk to record the fact that at most $1 \ll memk$ of those entries have been used so far. The lists of available space are relevant only for 1 < k < memk, and the statistics reported at the end of a run are calculated as if only $1 \ll memk$ entries had been allocated. The user should increase $memk_max$ (with the 'm' command-line parameter) when trying to solve a problem that needs an unusually large mem.

```
#define linkf(b) mem[b]
#define linkb(b) mem[(b) + 1]
#define kval(b) mem[(b) + 2]
#define avail(k) (((k) - 2) \ll 2)
#define memfree(b) ((int) mem[b] < 0)
#define memk\_max\_default 22 /* allow 4 million items in mem by default */ \langle Global variables 3*\rangle +\equiv
int memk; /* binary log of the number of spaces used so far in mem */
```

§49 SAT11

```
\langle Check the sanity of bimp and mem 49\rangle \equiv
  for (l = 2; l < badlit; l++) {
     la = bimp[l].addr, k = bimp[l].k;
     if (la \& ((1 \ll k) - 1))
       fprintf(stderr, "addr_of_bimp["O"d]_is_clobbered_(0x"O"x,_k="O"d)! \n", l, la, k);
     else if (bimp[l].alloc \neq 1 \ll k)
       fprintf(stderr, "alloc_lof_lbimp["O"d]_lis_lclobbered_l("O"d,_lk="O"d)!\n", l, bimp[l]. alloc, k);
     else if (bimp[l].size > bimp[l].alloc) fprintf (stderr,
             "size_{\cup}of_{\cup}bimp["O"d]_{\cup}is_{\cup}clobbered_{\cup}("O"d>"O"d)! \\n", l, bimp[l].size, bimp[l].alloc);
     else if (la \ge 1 \ll memk) fprintf (stderr,
             "addr_{\sqcup}of_{\sqcup}bimp["O"d]_{\sqcup}is_{\sqcup}out_{\sqcup}of_{\sqcup}bounds_{\sqcup}(0x"O"d>0x"O"d)!\setminusn", l, la, 1 \ll memk);
     else if (memfree(la)) fprintf(stderr, "block_lox"O"x_lof_bimp["O"d]_lisn't_lreserved! \n", la, l);
       for (j = bimp[l].size - 1; j \ge 0; j - -)
          if (mem[la + j] < 2 \lor mem[la + j] \ge badlit)
            fprintf(stderr, "literal_{\sqcup}"O"d_{\sqcup}in_{\sqcup}bimp["O"d]_{\sqcup}is_{\sqcup}out_{\sqcup}of_{\sqcup}bounds! \n", mem[la+j], l);
  for (k = 2; k < memk; k++) {
     for (p = \sim mem[avail(k)]; ; p = \sim linkf(p)) {
       if ((p \& ((1 \ll k) - 1)) \land p \neq avail(k))
          fprintf(stderr, "link_lin_lavail("O"d)_lis_lclobbered_l(0x"O"x)! \n", k, p);
       else if (p \ge 1 \ll memk) fprintf (stderr,
               "link_in_avail("O"d)_is_out_of_bounds_(0x"O"d>0x"O"d)!\n", k, p, 1 \ll memk);
       else if (kval(p) \neq k)
          fprintf(stderr, "kval_lof_lox"O"x_lin_lavail("O"d)_lis_l"O"d!\n", p, k, kval(p));
       else if (memfree(p \oplus (1 \ll k)) \land kval(p \oplus (1 \ll k)) \equiv k)
          fprintf(stderr, "buddy_of_0x"O"x_in_avail("O"d)_is_also_in_that_list!\n", p, k);
       else if (\sim linkf(\sim linkb(p)) \neq p)
          fprintf(stderr, "linking_anomaly_at_0x"O"x_in_avail("O"d)!\n", p, k);
       if (\sim linkf(p) \equiv avail(k)) break;
  }
This code is used in section 31*.
```

50. The *resize* procedure does the main work of dynamic storage allocation. Given a literal l, it doubles the current allocation bimp[l].alloc.

Two cases are distinguished, depending on whether the buddy of l's current list is presently free or reserved. The buddy of a reserved block of size $1 \ll k$ might have been split up into smaller blocks, but it won't be any bigger.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \langle \, \text{Subroutines 29} \, \rangle \, + \equiv \\ \quad \textbf{void } \ \textit{resize}(\textbf{register int } l) \\ \{ \\ \quad \textbf{register uint } \ a, \ j, \ k, \ kk, \ n, \ p, \ q, \ r, \ s; \\ \quad \textit{mems} \, + = \, 4; \quad / * \ \text{pay the cost of subroutine linkage } */\\ \quad \textit{oo}, \ a = bimp[l]. addr, \ n = bimp[l]. size, \ k = bimp[l]. k, \ s = \, 1 \ll k, \ p = a \oplus s; \\ \quad \textbf{if } \ ((o, memfree(p)) \land (o, kval(p) \equiv k)) \ \langle \, \text{Resize when the buddy is free 51} \, \rangle \\ \quad \textbf{else} \ \langle \, \text{Resize when the buddy is reserved 53} \, \rangle; \\ \quad \textit{finish: } \ o, bimp[l]. alloc = s + s, bimp[l]. k = k + 1; \\ \} \end{array}
```

28 BUDDY SYSTEM REDUX SAT11 $\S 51$

51. Here the buddy of block a is p, and it has turned out to be free. In the most favorable case, p will actually be in exactly the right place so that we won't have to recopy any data. \langle Resize when the buddy is free 51 $\rangle \equiv$ { $\langle \text{Remove } p \text{ from its } avail \text{ list } 52 \rangle;$ if $((a \& s) \equiv 0)$ goto finish; /* we lucked out */ oo, mem[p] = mem[a]; /* ensure that mem[p] isn't negative */ for (j = 1; j < n; j ++) oo, mem[p + j] = mem[a + j]; /* copy the rest of the data */ o, bimp[l].addr = p;} This code is used in section 50. **52.** $\langle \text{Remove } p \text{ from its } avail \text{ list } 52 \rangle \equiv$ $q = \sim linkb(p), r = \sim linkf(p);$ /* no mem cost, we've already accessed mem[p] */oo, $linkf(q) = \sim r$, $linkb(r) = \sim q$; This code is used in sections 51 and 54. 53. In the more difficult case, we must find a block of twice the size, and copy the data there; then we free up the present block. \langle Resize when the buddy is reserved 53 $\rangle \equiv$ \langle Allocate a block p of size s + s 54 \rangle ; $oo\,, \mathit{mem}\,[p] = \mathit{mem}\,[a]; \qquad /* \text{ ensure that } \mathit{mem}\,[p] \text{ isn't negative } */$ for (j = 1; j < n; j ++) oo, mem[p + j] = mem[a + j]; /* copy the rest of the data */ $\langle \text{ Make } a \text{ a free block of size } 1 \ll k \text{ 56} \rangle;$ o, bimp[l].addr = p;This code is used in section 50. **54.** \langle Allocate a block p of size s + s 54 $\rangle \equiv$ for (kk = k + 1; kk < memk; kk ++)/* nonempty list found */ **if** $(o, linkf(avail(kk)) \neq \sim avail(kk))$ { $p = \sim linkf(avail(kk));$ o; $\langle \text{Remove } p \text{ from its } avail \text{ list } 52 \rangle$; **goto** found; if $(memk \equiv memk_max)$ { /* oops, we're outta room */ $fprintf(stderr, "Sorry... _more_memory_is_needed!_(Try_option_m"O"d.)\n", memk_max + 1);$ $fprintf(stderr, "Job_uaborted_uafter_u"O"llu_mems,_u"O"llu_nodes.\n", mems, nodes);$ exit(-666);} $p=1 \ll memk$; $o, linkf(avail(memk)) = linkb(avail(memk)) = \sim avail(memk);$ /* empty avail list */ o, kval(avail(memk)) = memk;bytes += p * sizeof(uint), memk++;/* location p begins an available block of size $1 \ll kk *$ /

while (-kk > k) (Make $p + (1 \ll kk)$) a free block of size $1 \ll kk$ 55);

This code is used in section 53.

```
\langle \text{Make } p + (1 \ll kk) \text{ a free block of size } 1 \ll kk \text{ 55} \rangle \equiv
     o, q = \sim linkf(avail(kk)), r = p + (1 \ll kk);
     oo, linkf(avail(kk)) = linkb(q) = \sim r;
     oo, linkb(r) = \sim avail(kk), linkf(r) = \sim q, kval(r) = kk;
  }
This code is used in section 54.
56. Since the buddy of a is not free, we needn't try to "collapse" adjacent buddies together.
\langle \text{ Make } a \text{ a free block of size } 1 \ll k \text{ 56} \rangle \equiv
  o, q = \sim linkf(avail(k));
  oo, linkf(avail(k)) = linkb(q) = \sim a;
  oo, linkb(a) = \sim avail(k), linkf(a) = \sim q, kval(a) = k;
This code is used in section 53.
57. We need to get these data structures off to a good start at the very beginning. Here's how that is
done, given lits and memk_max, after the arrays mem and bimp have been allocated:
\langle \text{Initialize } mem \text{ with empty } bimp \text{ lists 57} \rangle \equiv
  for (memk = 4; 1 \ll memk < 4 * (memk\_max - 2 + lits); memk ++);
  if (memk > memk\_max) { /* memk\_max is too small even for empty lists! */
     fprintf(stderr, "The \ value \ of \ memk \ max \ is \ way \ too \ small \ for \ "O"d \ literals! \ n", lits);
     exit(-667);
  }
  mem = (\mathbf{uint} *) \ malloc((1 \ll memk\_max) * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{uint}));
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup} can't_{\sqcup} allocate_{\sqcup} the_{\sqcup} mem_{\sqcup} array! \n");
     exit(-10);
                                                    /* we'll update bytes if we use more */
  bytes += (1 \ll memk) * sizeof(uint);
  j = avail(memk\_max);
                                 /* the first bimp list starts here */
  for (l = 2; l < badlit; l++) {
     oo, mem[j] = 0, bimp[l].addr = j, bimp[l].size = 0, j += 4; /* reserve an empty block */
     o, bimp[l]. alloc = 4, bimp[l]. k = 2; /* give it the minimum size */
  for (k = 2; k < memk; k++) {
     if (j \& (1 \ll k)) { /* make a free block of size 1 \ll k at j */
       o, linkf(avail(k)) = linkb(avail(k)) = \sim j;
       o, linkf(j) = linkb(j) = \sim avail(k);
```

This code is used in section 38*.

o, kval(avail(k)) = k;

 $i += 1 \ll k$;

else {

oo, kval(avail(k)) = kval(j) = k;

 $o, linkf(avail(k)) = linkb(avail(k)) = \sim avail(k);$

/* there are no free blocks of size $1 \ll k$ initially */

30 BUDDY SYSTEM REDUX SAT11 §58

58. The *istack* can grow rather large in the worst case. But it can't exceed the size of mem, since each entry in *istack* represents an increase in a bimp table entry. Therefore we allocate it with the same kludge that we used for mem.

59. Updating the data structures. When we've decided to assign a value to a literal, we must deduce and record all of the consequences of that decision. The following part of the program comes into play when we're beginning the calculation at a new node of the decision tree.

Sometimes *bestlit* turns out to be zero, because the favorite literal of the lookahead process has already become true by forcing. Then we have a "dummy" level, which does no branching and inaugurates a new node from which we can look further ahead.

```
\langle Begin the processing of a new node 59\rangle \equiv
  nstack[evel].lptr = rptr, nodes ++; /* for diagnostics only (no mem charged) */
  if (delta \land (mems \ge thresh)) thresh += delta, print\_state(level);
  if (mems > timeout) {
     fprintf(stderr, "TIMEOUT!\n");
     goto done;
  o, nstack[level].branch = -1, plevel = level;
  (Look ahead and gather data about how to make the next branch; but goto look_bad if a contradiction
       arises 123*);
  if (forcedlits) (Update data structures for all consequences of the forced literals discovered during the
          lookahead; but goto conflict if a contradiction arises 64);
chooseit: (Choose bestlit, which will be the next branch tried 140);
  o, nstack[level].rptr = rptr, nstack[level].iptr = iptr;
                                                                  /* backup pointers */
  if (bestlit) {
     o, nstack[level].decision = bestlit, nstack[level].branch = 0;\\
  tryit: l = bestlit, plevel = level + 1;
     if ((verbose \& show\_choices) \land level < show\_choices\_max)
       fprintf(stderr, "Level_{\square}"O"d"O"s:_{\square}"O"s"O".8s_{\square}("O"lld_{\square}mems)\n", level,
             nstack[level].branch ? "'," : "", litname(l), mems);
     \langle \text{ Update data structures for all consequences of } l; \text{ but } \mathbf{goto} \text{ conflict if a contradiction arises 62} \rangle;
  \} else if ((verbose \& show\_choices) \land level < show\_choices\_max)
     fprintf(stderr, "Level_{\sqcup}"O"d: \_no_{\sqcup}branch \n", level);
This code is used in section 152*.
```

60. Recall that the "current stamp" cs is an even number that represents the level of truth for assignments that are currently being made. Any variable x with stamp[x] < cs is assumed to be free (unassigned); otherwise x is assumed to be true, in the context of level cs, when stamp[x] is even, false when stamp[x] is odd.

The highest level of truth is called $real_truth$; the next highest is $near_truth$; the next highest is $proto_truth$; and lower values $2, 4, \ldots, proto_truth - 2$ are used during lookahead.

```
#define real_truth #fffffffe
#define proto_truth #ffffffffa
#define isfixed(l) (o, stamp[thevar(l)] \ge cs)
#define isfree(l) (o, stamp[thevar(l)] < real\_truth)
#define iscontrary(l) ((stamp[thevar(l)] \oplus l) \& 1)
                                                      /* test this after is fixed (l) */
#define stamptrue(l) (o, stamp[thevar(l)] = cs + (l \& 1))
\langle \text{Global variables } 3^* \rangle + \equiv
                  /* literal chosen for branching by lookahead routines */
  uint bestlit;
  uint cs;
              /* the current level of truth (always even) */
                            /* saved values of cs */
  uint look_cs, dlook_cs;
  int fptr, eptr, lfptr;
                           /* queue pointers for breadth-first search */
```

SAT11

61. Here's a simple routine for use in debugging. It prints out all literals that are true with respect to a given stamping level.

```
\langle Subroutines 29\rangle + \equiv
  void print_truths(uint cs)
    register int x;
    if (cs \ge proto\_truth) {
       switch ((cs - proto\_truth) \gg 1) {
       case 0: fprintf(stderr, "proto_truths or better: "); break;
       case 1: fprintf(stderr, "near_truths_or_better:"); break;
       case 2: fprintf(stderr, "real_truths:"); break;
    } else fprintf(stderr, "truths_at_least_"O"d:", cs);
    for (x = 1; x \le vars; x++)
       if (stamp[x] \ge cs) fprintf (stderr, " \cup "O"s"O".8s", stamp[x] \& 1? "~":"", vmem[x].name.ch8);
    fprintf(stderr, "\n");
  void print_proto_truths(void)
    print_truths(proto_truth);
  void print_near_truths(void)
    print\_truths(near\_truth);
  void print_real_truths(void)
    print\_truths(real\_truth);
```

62. In the present part of the program, we set $cs = near_truth$. This level means that the literal is on the rstack but its full consequences haven't yet been explored.

We do a breadth-first search, using *rstack* to contain the literals that are being asserted—first at level *near_truth*, then at level *real_truth*. Pointers *fptr* and *eptr* point to the front and end of the queue that governs the search.

```
⟨ Update data structures for all consequences of l; but goto conflict if a contradiction arises 62⟩ ≡
    cs = near_truth;
    fptr = eptr = rptr;
    ⟨ Bump istamp to a unique value 65⟩;
    ⟨ Propagate binary implications of l; goto conflict if a contradiction arises 68*⟩;
    promote: ⟨ Promote near-truth to real-truth; but goto conflict if a contradiction arises 63⟩;
    if (o, nstack[level].branch < 0) { /* we've finished the forced literals */
        if (level) goto chooseit;
        forcedlits = 0;
        goto enter_level; /* at the root, it's back to square zero */
    }
</pre>
This code is used in section 59.
```

```
63. ⟨Promote near-truth to real-truth; but goto conflict if a contradiction arises 63⟩ ≡
while (fptr < eptr) {</li>
o, ll = rstack [fptr++];
⟨Update data structures for the real truth of ll; but goto conflict if a contradiction arises 69*⟩;
}
rptr = eptr; /* accept all the propagations */
This code is used in section 62.
```

64. The forced literals act as "seeds" for another bread-first search.

If the input had unary clauses, the computation actually begins here, so that the implications of those clauses are perceived early.

```
⟨Update data structures for all consequences of the forced literals discovered during the lookahead; but
    goto conflict if a contradiction arises 64⟩ ≡

{
    special_start: if (verbose & show_details)
        fprintf(stderr, "(lookahead_for_level_"O"d_forces_"O"d)\n", level, forcedlits);
    cs = near_truth;
    fptr = eptr = rptr;
    ⟨Bump istamp to a unique value 65⟩;
    for (i = 0; i < forcedlits; i++) {
        o, l = forcedlit[i];
        ⟨Propagate binary implications of l; goto conflict if a contradiction arises 68*⟩;
    }
    goto promote;
}</pre>
This code is used in section 59.
```

65. The *istamp* field of literal l is marked with the current value of the global variable *istamp* when l gets its first *istack* entry during a particular phase of the search; then we can be sure that there's at most one *istack* entry per literal during any particular phase.

The loop here is "never" needed, except in problems that are well beyond what I ever imagine trying to solve. But I'm including it anyway, because it makes me feel virtuous.

66. The bstamp field of literal l is similar to istamp, but it is used for a different purpose: We mark it when l is known to be implied by some other literal of interest.

```
⟨Bump bstamp to a unique value 66⟩ ≡
if (++bstamp ≡ 0) { /* overflow has occurred after 2<sup>32</sup> times */
bstamp = 1;
for (l = 2; l < badlit; l++) o, lmem[l].bstamp = 0;
}</li>
This code is used in sections 73 and 106.
(Global variables 3*⟩ +≡
uint istamp; /* used for unique identifications */
uint bstamp = 32; /* used for unique identifications of another kind */
```

SAT11

68* The code in this section is part of the inner loop, so we want it to be fast. Fortunately the task is fairly simple: When one literal is asserted to be true at the current *cs* level, all the literals in its *bimp* list are also asserted. And we continue until no more can be asserted, unless a contradiction arises first.

Our data structures contain both binary implications and k-ary implications for $k \geq 3$. We examine only the binary ones here, because they're simpler. By focusing on them first, we have a better chance of detecting contradictions sooner.

```
\langle Propagate binary implications of l; goto conflict if a contradiction arises 68^*\rangle \equiv
  if (isfixed(l)) {
    if (iscontrary(l)) goto conflict;
  } else {
    if (verbose \& show\_details) fprintf(stderr, "nearfixing_\"O"s"O".8s\n", litname(l));
    stamptrue(l);
    lfptr = eptr;
    o, rstack[eptr++] = l;
    while (lfptr < eptr) {
       o, l = rstack[lfptr++];
       for (o, la = bimp[l].addr, ls = bimp[l].size; ls; la++, ls--) {
         o, lp = mem[la];
         if (isfixed(lp)) {
           if (iscontrary(lp)) goto conflict;
         } else {
           if (verbose \& show\_details) fprintf(stderr, "\_nearfixing\_"O"s"O".8s\n", litname(lp));
           stamptrue(lp);
           o, rstack[eptr++] = lp;
         }
      }
    }
```

This code is used in sections 62, 64, 72*, and 73.

This code is used in section 63.

69.* We get to this part of the program when a literal loses its freedom and becomes fully assigned to truth or falsity at the highest possible level. Every active big clause that contains ll or its complement is affected: Those with ll itself become satisfied, while those with bar(ll) become shorter.

Many details of that transformation are described in the special "big clauses" addendum at the end of this program. Here we introduce only a few of them.

```
 \langle \text{Update data structures for the real truth of } ll; \text{ but } \textbf{goto } \textit{conflict} \text{ if a contradiction arises } 69^* \rangle \equiv o, \textit{stamp}[\textit{thevar}(ll)] = \textit{real\_truth} + (\textit{ll \& 1}); \\ \textbf{if } (\textit{verbose \& show\_details}) \textit{ fprintf}(\textit{stderr}, "fixing_{\square}"O"s"O".8s\n", \textit{litname}(ll)); \\ \langle \text{Remove } \textit{thevar}(ll) \text{ from the } \textit{freevar list } 70 \rangle; \\ \langle \text{Swap out all big clauses that contain } \textit{ll } 156^* \rangle; \\ \textit{tll} = \textit{bar}(\textit{ll}), \textit{bptr} = 0; \quad /* \text{ clear the } \textit{bstack } */ \\ \langle \text{Reduce all big clauses that contain } \textit{tll}; \text{ if any become binary, swap them out and put them on } \textit{bstack } 71^* \rangle; \\ \textbf{while } (\textit{bptr}) \text{ } \{ \\ o, \textit{bptr} --, \textit{u} = \textit{bstack}[\textit{bptr}].\textit{u}, \textit{v} = \textit{bstack}[\textit{bptr}].\textit{v}; \\ \langle \text{Update for a potentially new binary clause } \textit{u} \vee \textit{v} \text{ } 72^* \rangle; \\ \}
```

```
70. \langle \text{Remove } thevar(ll) \text{ from the } freevar \text{ list } 70 \rangle \equiv x = thevar(ll);
o, y = freevar[--freevars];
if (x \neq y) {
o, xl = freeloc[x];
o, freevar[xl] = y;
o, freeloc[y] = xl;
o, freeloc[x] = freevars;
o, freevar[freevars] = x;
}
This code is used in section 69*.
```

71.* When tll becomes false in clause c, we simply decrease the size of c by 1, without taking time to move tll to a different place in cmem. The first time this happens to c is, however, special: Then we also want to mark all of c's other literals as "participants," as explained in the preselection process below. That case can be recognized by the condition cinx[c].addr + cinx[c].size = cinx[c-1].addr. While we're examining those other literals, we might as well move tll to the end of the clause.

Interesting things start to happen when all but two of c's literals have been falsified, before any of them have become true. At that point c becomes inactive and its remaining literals yield a new binary clause.

 \langle Reduce all big clauses that contain tll; if any become binary, swap them out and put them on bstack $71* \rangle \equiv$

```
if (verbose \& show\_details) fprintf(stderr, "u("O"s"O".8suout)\n", litname(tll));
for (o, tla = kinx[tll].addr, tls = kinx[tll].size; tls; tla++, tls--) {
           oo, c = kmem[tla], cia = cinx[c].addr, cis = cinx[c].size;
           if (o, cia + cis \equiv cinx[c-1].addr) { /* c is reduced for the first time */
                      for (ua = cia, su = cis; su; ua ++, su --)  {
                                o, u = cmem[ua];
                                if (u \equiv tll) au = ua;
                                else \langle \text{Record } thevar(u) \text{ as a participant } 86^* \rangle;
                      if (u \neq tll) oo, cmem[ua - 1] = tll, cmem[au] = u;
           o, cinx[c].size = cis - 1;
           if (cis \equiv 3) {
                                                                                                /* exactly two literals of c are now free */
                      for (ci = cia, v = cmem[ci]; ; ci ++) {
                                o, u = cmem[ci];
                                if (isfree(u)) break;
                      \textbf{if } (ci \neq cia) \ oo, cmem[cia] = u, cmem[ci] = v; \\
                      for (ci++; ; ci++) {
                                o, v = cmem[ci];
                                if (isfree(v)) break;
                      if (ci \neq cia + 1) ooo, cmem[ci] = cmem[cia + 1], cmem[cia + 1] = v;
                      o, bstack[bptr].u = u, bstack[bptr].v = v, bptr ++;
                       if \ (\textit{verbose} \ \& \ \textit{show\_details}) \ \textit{fprintf} \ (\textit{stderr}, \texttt{``$\sqcup$\sqcup$}"O"\texttt{s}"O".8\texttt{s}->"O"\texttt{s}"O".8\texttt{s}| "O"\texttt{s}"O".8\texttt{s} \land \texttt{n}", \texttt{show} \land \texttt{sh
                                                       litname(bar(tll)), litname(u), litname(v));
                      \langle \text{Swap } c \text{ out of } u \text{'s clause list } 158^* \rangle;
                      u = v; (Swap c out of u's clause list 158*);
}
```

This code is used in section 69*.

36

This code is used in section 69*.

72.* When a big clause reduces to the binary clause $u \vee v$, the "real" truth status of u and v is not yet known; but they might be "nearly" true or false. (In the latter case, we'll be setting them really true or false as we continue our breadth-first search in the queue on the *rstack*.) There are five possibilities:

- If either u or v is near-true, the binary clause is satisfied and we needn't do anything.
- If both u and v are near-false, we've reached a contradiction.
- \bullet If u is near-false but v is unknown, we can make v near-true.
- If u is unknown but v is near-false, we can make u near-true.
- Otherwise u and v are both unknown, and we've deduced the clause $u \vee v$.

```
\langle \text{Update for a potentially new binary clause } u \lor v \ 72^* \rangle \equiv
  if (isfixed(u)) {
                         /* equivalently, if (o, stamp[thevar(u)] \ge near\_truth) */
                                /* u is stamped false */
     if (iscontrary(u)) {
       if (isfixed(v)) {
          if (iscontrary(v)) goto conflict;
       } else {
                    /* v is unknown */
          \langle Propagate binary implications of l; goto conflict if a contradiction arises 68*\rangle;
     }
  } else {
                 /* u is unknown */
    if (isfixed(v)) {
       if (iscontrary(v)) {
          l=u;
          \langle Propagate binary implications of l; goto conflict if a contradiction arises 68*\rangle;
     } else \langle \text{Update for a new binary clause } u \vee v 73 \rangle;
```

- **73.** Now we've made some definite progress, by deducing a "new" binary clause $u \lor v$, and we hope to capitalize on it. Three opportunities, not mutually exclusive, may present themselves at this point:
- If $\bar{u} \vee v$ is already in our *bimp* table, we can make v near-true.
- If $u \vee \bar{v}$ is already in our *bimp* table, we can make u near-true.
- If $u \vee v$ is not already in our *bimp* table, we can insert it.

Furthermore, we might also know the clause $\bar{v} \vee w$, say, in which case the binary clause $u \vee w$ is also true. Experience shows that such "compensation resolvents" are useful, so we add them to our *bimp* collection.

This is the part of the program where we use bstamp to mark everything that's presently implied by \bar{u} . And then we use it to mark everything that's presently implied by \bar{v} .

An attentive reader will notice that, if $\bar{u} \vee v$ and $u \vee \bar{v}$ are both already in bimp, we'll make u near-true and the propagation routine will take care of v.

```
\langle \text{Update for a new binary clause } u \lor v \ 73 \rangle \equiv
     \langle \text{Bump } bstamp \text{ to a unique value } 66 \rangle;
     o, lmem[bar(u)].bstamp = bstamp;
     for (o, au = bimp[bar(u)].addr, k = su = bimp[bar(u)].size; k; au++, k--)
        oo, lmem[mem[au]].bstamp = bstamp;
     if (o, lmem[bar(v)].bstamp \equiv bstamp) {
                                                           /* we already have u \vee \bar{v} */
     fix_u: l = u; (Propagate binary implications of l; goto conflict if a contradiction arises 68*);
     } else if (o, lmem[v].bstamp \neq bstamp) { /* we don't have u \vee v */
        o, ua = bimp[bar(u)].alloc;
        \langle \text{ Make sure that } bar(u) \text{ has an } istack \text{ entry } 74 \rangle;
        \langle Add \text{ compensation resolvents from } bar(u); \text{ but } \mathbf{goto} \text{ fix\_u} \text{ if } u \text{ is forced true } 76 \rangle;
        \langle \text{Bump } bstamp \text{ to a unique value } 66 \rangle;
        o, lmem[bar(v)].bstamp = bstamp;
        for (o, av = bimp[bar(v)].addr, k = sv = bimp[bar(v)].size; k; av ++, k--)
           oo, lmem[mem[av]].bstamp = bstamp;
                                                             /* we already have \bar{u} \vee v */
        if (o, lmem[bar(u)].bstamp \equiv bstamp) {
        fix_v: l = v; (Propagate binary implications of l; goto conflict if a contradiction arises 68*);
        } else {
           o, va = bimp[bar(v)].alloc;
           \langle \text{ Make sure that } bar(v) \text{ has an } istack \text{ entry } 77 \rangle;
           \langle Add compensation resolvents from bar(v); but goto fix_v if v is forced true 79\rangle;
           if (su \equiv ua) resize (bar(u)), ua += ua, o, au = bimp[bar(u)]. addr + su;
                                                                       /* \bar{u} \text{ implies } v */
           oo, mem[au] = v, bimp[bar(u)].size = su + 1;
           if (sv \equiv va) resize (bar(v)), va += va, o, av = bimp[bar(v)]. addr + sv;
           oo, mem[av] = u, bimp[bar(v)].size = sv + 1; /* \bar{v} implies u */
     }
  }
This code is used in section 72*.
74. At this point su = bimp[bar(u)].size.
\langle \text{ Make sure that } bar(u) \text{ has an } istack \text{ entry } 74 \rangle \equiv
  if (o, lmem[bar(u)].istamp \neq istamp) {
     o, lmem[bar(u)].istamp = istamp;
     o, istack[iptr].lit = bar(u), istack[iptr].size = su;
     \langle \text{Increase } iptr 75 \rangle;
  }
This code is used in sections 73, 128*, and 137.
```

```
75.
       \langle \text{Increase } iptr \ 75 \rangle \equiv
  iptr++;
  if (iptr \equiv iptr\_max) {
      bytes += iptr * sizeof(idata);
      iptr\_max \ll = 1;
  }
This code is used in sections 74, 77, 78, and 138.
```

38

76. At this point all implications of bar(u) are stamped with bstamp, including bar(u) itself. And since $u \vee v$ is true, we know that v is also implied by bar(u). Therefore any literal w implied by v is a potentially new consequence of bar(u), called a "compensation resolvent." (It can be obtained by resolving $u \vee v$ with $\bar{v} \vee w$.) Notice that w cannot be near-false; otherwise the propagation routine would have made v near-false, since $v \to w$ implies $\bar{w} \to \bar{v}$.

```
We maintain the values au = bimp[bar(u)].addr + su, su = bimp[bar(u)].size, ua = bimp[bar(u)].alloc.
\langle Add compensation resolvents from bar(u); but goto fix_u if u is forced true 76 \rangle \equiv
  for (o, la = bimp[v].addr, ls = bimp[v].size; ls; la++, ls--) {
     o, w = mem[la];
     if (\neg isfixed(w)) {
        if (o, lmem[bar(w)].bstamp \equiv bstamp) goto fix_u;
                                                                         /* \bar{u} implies w and \bar{w} */
        if (o, lmem[w].bstamp \neq bstamp) {
                                                    /* u \vee w \text{ is new } */
          if (verbose & show_details)
             fprintf(stderr, "_{\sqcup\sqcup\sqcup} -> "O"s"O".8s|"O"s"O".8s|n", litname(u), litname(w));
          if (su \equiv ua) resize (bar(u)), ua += ua, o, au = bimp[bar(u)] \cdot addr + su;
          oo, mem[au++] = w, bimp[bar(u)].size = ++su;
                                                                       /* \bar{u} \text{ implies } w */
          o, aw = bimp[bar(w)].addr, sw = bimp[bar(w)].size;
          \langle \text{ Make sure that } bar(w) \text{ has an } istack \text{ entry } 78 \rangle;
          if (o, sw \equiv bimp[bar(w)].alloc) resize (bar(w)), o, aw = bimp[bar(w)].addr;
          o, bimp[bar(w)].size = sw + 1;
          o, mem[aw + sw] = u; /* \bar{w} implies u */
       }
     }
  }
This code is used in section 73.
77. At this point sv = bimp[bar(v)].size; we do for v as we did for u.
\langle \text{ Make sure that } bar(v) \text{ has an } istack \text{ entry } 77 \rangle \equiv
  if (o, lmem[bar(v)].istamp \neq istamp) {
     o, lmem[bar(v)].istamp = istamp;
     o, istack[iptr].lit = bar(v), istack[iptr].size = sv;
     \langle \text{Increase } iptr 75 \rangle;
  }
This code is used in section 73.
78. Here sw = bimp[bar(w)].size.
\langle \text{ Make sure that } bar(w) \text{ has an } istack \text{ entry } 78 \rangle \equiv
  if (o, lmem[bar(w)].istamp \neq istamp) {
     o, lmem[bar(w)].istamp = istamp;
     o, istack[iptr].lit = bar(w), istack[iptr].size = sw;
     \langle \text{Increase } iptr 75 \rangle;
```

This code is used in sections 76 and 79.

}

79. This is the kind of program that cannot be written well when loud music is playing.

```
\langle Add compensation resolvents from bar(v); but goto fix_v if v is forced true 79\rangle
   for (o, la = bimp[u].addr, ls = bimp[u].size; ls; la++, ls--) {
      o, w = mem[la];
      if (\neg isfixed(w)) {
         if (o, lmem[bar(w)].bstamp \equiv bstamp) goto fix_v;
                                                                                  /* \bar{v} implies w and \bar{w} */
         if (o, lmem[w].bstamp \neq bstamp) { /* v \lor w is new */
            if (verbose & show_details)
               \textit{fprintf} \, (\textit{stderr}, \texttt{```} \texttt{\_} \texttt{-} \texttt{``} \texttt{O"} \texttt{s"} \texttt{O"} \texttt{.8s} \texttt{| "} \texttt{O"} \texttt{s"} \texttt{O"} \texttt{.8s} \texttt{`n"}, \\ \textit{litname} \, (v), \\ \textit{litname} \, (w));
            \textbf{if } (sv \equiv va) \ \textit{resize}(\textit{bar}(v)), va \mathrel{+}= va, o, av = \textit{bimp}[\textit{bar}(v)]. \textit{add}r + sv;
            oo, mem[av ++] = w, bimp[bar(v)].size = ++sv; /* \bar{v} implies w */
            o, aw = bimp[bar(w)].addr, sw = bimp[bar(w)].size;
            \langle \text{ Make sure that } bar(w) \text{ has an } istack \text{ entry } 78 \rangle;
            if (o, sw \equiv bimp[bar(w)].alloc) resize (bar(w)), o, aw = bimp[bar(w)].addr;
            o, bimp[bar(w)].size = sw + 1;
            o, mem[aw + sw] = v; /* \bar{w} implies v */
         }
   }
```

This code is used in section 73.

80. Downdating the data structures. When a contradiction arises, backtracking becomes necessary: Everything that went up must come down.

Fortunately the task of undoing isn't too tough. The *istack* contains all the information needed to discard any binary implications that no longer hold; and the *rstack* records every literal that has been made nearly or really true.

Let's look at the *istack* entries first, because they're so easy. The code almost writes itself.

```
 \langle \, \text{Discard binary implications at the current level } \, 80 \, \rangle \equiv \\ \quad \text{if } \, (o, nstack[level].branch \geq 0) \, \, \{ \\ \quad \text{for } \, (o, j = nstack[level].iptr; \, iptr > j; \, iptr --) \, \, \{ \\ \quad o, l = istack[iptr - 1].lit, sl = istack[iptr - 1].size; \\ \quad o, bimp[l].size = sl; \\ \quad \} \\ \quad \}
```

This code is used in section 84.

81. The rstack entries come in two parts, one easy and the other a bit tricky. The literals on rstack[j] for $fptr \leq j < eptr$ are the nice guys; they've become nearly true, but we haven't updated any serious consequences of that near-truth. Thus we merely need to unset those tentative assignments.

```
\langle Unset the nearly true literals 81 \rangle \equiv for (j = fptr; j < eptr; j++) oo, stamp[thevar(rstack[j])] = 0; This code is used in section 84.
```

82* The literals on rstack[j] for $rptr \leq j < fptr$ have become really true, and the ripple effects of those settings require more attention. Of principal importance is the fact that the big clauses in which those literals or their complements appear may have become inactive, in which case they've been swapped to the "invisible" part of the relevant kinx lists.

There's good news here: We don't need to unswap any of the kinx entries while we're backtracking! The order of those entries isn't important; only the state, active versus inactive, matters. The active entries are those that appear among the first size entries, beginning at addr. The inactive ones follow, in precisely the order in which they were swapped out, because a pair never participates in swaps after it has become inactive. Therefore we can reactivate the most-recently-swapped-out item in any particular list by simply increasing size by 1.

Two or more literals of the same clause may have all become really true or really false. We can be sure that the hocus pocus in the preceding paragraph works correctly if we are careful to do the virtual unswapping in precisely the reverse order from which we've done the swapping.

Similar reasoning applies to the list of free variables. When a literal left that list, we moved it from wherever it was in the early part of that list, by swapping it with the last currently free item, and then we decreased *freevars* by 1. To undo this operation, we simply increase *freevars* by 1. (The ordering isn't actually as critical here; it would suffice to change *freevars* once and for all by setting it to the value it had at the beginning of the node. But any savings in running time would be negligible.)

```
⟨ Unset the really true literals 82^*⟩ ≡

for (j = fptr - 1; j \ge rptr; j - -) { /* decreasing order is important */

o, ll = rstack[j];

tll = bar(ll);

⟨ Unreduce all big clauses that contain tll; if they had become binary, swap them back in 83^*⟩;

⟨ Swap in all big clauses that contain ll 159^*⟩;

freevars + +;

o, stamp[thevar(ll)] = 0;
}

This code is used in section 84.
```

```
\langle Unreduce all big clauses that contain tll; if they had become binary, swap them back in 83*\rangle \equiv
  if (verbose \& show\_details) fprintf(stderr, "u("O"s"O".8suin)\n", litname(tll));
  for (o, tls = kinx[tll].size, tla = kinx[tll].addr + tls - 1; tls; tla - -, tls - -) {
     o, c = kmem[tla];
     o, cia = cinx[c].addr, cis = cinx[c].size + 1;
     o, cinx[c].size = cis;
     if (cis \equiv 3) {
       o, u = cmem[cia]; \langle \text{Swap } c \text{ back in to } u's clause list 160^* \rangle;
       o, u = cmem[cia + 1]; \langle \text{Swap } c \text{ back in to } u \text{'s clause list } 160^* \rangle;
This code is used in section 82*.
84. \langle Recover from conflicts 84\rangle \equiv
dl-contra: (Recover from a double lookahead contradiction 148);
contra: (Recover from a lookahead contradiction 130*);
                        /* a conflict has arisen during lookahead */
  goto look_bad;
conflict: (Unset the nearly true literals 81);
backtrack: \langle \text{Unset the really true literals } 82^* \rangle;
  (Discard binary implications at the current level 80);
  if (o, nstack[level].branch \equiv 0) (Move to branch 1 85);
look_bad: if (level) {
     level--;
     if (level < 31) prefix &= -(1 \ll (31 - level));
                                                           /* see below */
     fptr = rptr;
     o, rptr = nstack[level].rptr;
     goto backtrack;
  }
unsat: if (1) {
     printf ("~\n");
                          /* the formula was unsatisfiable */
     if (verbose & show_basics) fprintf(stderr, "UNSAT\n");
  } else {
  satisfied: if (verbose & show_basics) fprintf(stderr, "!SAT!\n");
     \langle Print the solution found 153\rangle;
  }
This code is used in section 152*.
```

85. A binary string is implicitly associated with every node of the search tree: At level 0, before we've done any branching at all, the string is empty. Branch 0 of every node appends 0 to the parent string, and branch 1 appends 1. The length of the string is therefore *level*. We also maintain the first 32 bits of the current string in the global variable *prefix*, left-justified within a 32-bit word. (This prefix is used to help guide locality of search, by identifying "participants" as explained in the preselection algorithm below.)

```
 \langle \mbox{ Move to branch 1 85} \rangle \equiv \\ \{ \\ best lit = bar(nstack[level].decision); \\ o, nstack[level].decision = best lit, nstack[level].branch = 1; \\ \mbox{if } (level < 32) \mbox{ } prefix \ += 1 \ll (31 - level); \\ \mbox{goto } tryit; \mbox{ } /* \mbox{ if at first you don't succeed, try the other branch */ } \\ \mbox{This code is used in section 84}.
```

SAT11

86* A variable x is said to "participate" at a branch node if it occurs in one of the nonbinary clauses that is produced in that node or in one of that node's ancestors. If x has already become a participant, the string specified by vmem[x].pfx and vmem[x].len will be a prefix of the current string.

In this step we update the pfx and lev fields of variables that are participating in the current activity. Notice that this information does not need to be changed when backtracking.

```
(At levels above 31 this program accepts cousins as well as ancestors.)
```

```
 \langle \operatorname{Record} \ thevar(u) \text{ as a participant } 86^* \rangle \equiv \\ \{ \\ x = thevar(u); \\ o, p = vmem[x].pfx, q = vmem[x].len; \\ \text{if } (q < plevel) \{ \\ t = prefix; \\ \text{if } (q < 32) \ t \ \& = -(1_{\mathrm{LL}} \ll (32 - q)); \ /* \ \text{zero out irrelevant bits } */ \\ \text{if } (p \neq t) \ o, vmem[x].pfx = prefix, vmem[x].len = plevel; \\ \} \ \text{else} \ o, vmem[x].pfx = prefix, vmem[x].len = plevel; \\ \}
```

This code is used in section 71*.

§87 SAT11 PRESELECTION 43

87. Preselection. The main purpose of lookahead is to choose the best free variable on which to branch. Of course we have limited foreknowledge, so we must make guesses. And we don't have time to explore *every* variable that remains free, except in trivial ways, unless we're near the root of the search tree.

So we begin the lookahead task by identifying a set of candidate variables that appear to be the most promising among all those that are currently free. That's called *preselection*.

```
\langle \text{ Do the prelookahead } 87 \rangle \equiv
  if (freevars \equiv 0) goto satisfied;
  (Preselect a set of candidate variables for lookahead 97*);
   (Determine the strong components; goto look_bad if there's a contradiction 104);
   (Construct a suitable forest 117);
This code is used in section 123*.
      The candidates are collected and identified in an array cand, whose entries have two fields, var and
rating.
\langle \text{Type definitions 5} \rangle + \equiv
  typedef struct cdata_struct {
                      /* the variable that's a candidate */
     float rating;
                         /* its estimated importance */
  } cdata;
89. \langle Global variables 3^* \rangle + \equiv
  cdata * cand:
                        /* list of candidates for lookahead */
  int cands:
                    /* the number of candidates in cand */
                    /* accumulator for computing the ratings */
  float sum;
                          /* are candidates restricted to participants? */
  int no_newbies;
                        /* estimates of how useful each variable will be for branching */
  float *rating;
                      /* first 32 bits of the current prefix string */
  uint prefix;
  int plevel;
                    /* length of the current prefix string */
  int maxcand;
                        /* the maximum number of candidates desired at the current node */
90. \langle Allocate special arrays 58\rangle + \equiv
  cand = (\mathbf{cdata} *) \ malloc(vars * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{cdata}));
  if (\neg cand) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup} can't_{\sqcup} allocate_{\sqcup} the_{\sqcup} cand_{\sqcup} array! \n");
     exit(-10);
  bytes += vars * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{cdata});
  rating = (\mathbf{float} *) \ malloc((vars + 1) * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{float}));
  if (\neg rating) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup} can't_{\sqcup} allocate_{\sqcup} the_{\sqcup} rating_{\sqcup} array! \n");
  bytes += (vars + 1) * sizeof(float);
```

91.* The first stage of preselection does examine all the free variables, in order to get enough data to choose the candidates. Thus it constitutes one of the inner loops for which we hope to do everything rapidly. The general idea is to compute a heuristic score h(l) for each free literal l, which estimates the relative amount by which asserting l will reduce the current problem.

44 PRESELECTION SAT11 §92

92.* An elaborate method is used in SAT11 for the case when all big clauses are ternary. But in the general k-ary case we will content ourselves with a very simple formula:

$$h(l) = \alpha + s(l) + \sum_{l \to l'} s(l'),$$

where s(l) is the number of occurrences of \bar{l} in big clauses that are currently active. This quantity h(l) estimates the potential number of big-clause reductions that occur when l becomes true. The default value $\alpha = 1.001$ is recommended, but of course other magic values can be tried by using the command-line parameter 'a'.

This code is used in section 97*.

94.* We don't actually need the individual scores h(l) for each free literal l: Only the product $h(l)h(\bar{l})$ is used, as our rating for each free variable x.

```
\langle \text{ Compute } rating[x] | 94* \rangle \equiv
    float s;
    l = poslit(x);
     \langle \text{ Compute } sum, \text{ the score of } l 93^* \rangle;
     s = sum;
    l++;
     \langle \text{Compute } sum, \text{ the score of } l \text{ 93*} \rangle;
     rating[x] = s * sum;
     vmem[x].name.ch8, s, sum, s * sum);
  }
This code is used in section 95*.
95* \langle Put the ratings in rating 95* \rangle \equiv
  for (k = 0; k < freevars; k++) {
     o, x = freevar[k];
     \langle \text{ Compute } rating[x] 94* \rangle;
```

 $\S96$ SAT11 PRESELECTION 45

96.* The maximum number of candidates permitted, in this implementation, depends on the current level rather than on the number of variables or clauses in the problem: We calculate maxcand = the maximum of levelcand/level and mincutoff, where levelcand = 600 and mincutoff = 30 by default. (At level 0, for example, maxcand is infinite; at level 5 it is 120; at levels 20 or more it is 30.) Then, while $cands \geq 2 * maxcand$, we repeatedly remove all candidates whose rating is less than the mean; quite a few really weak candidates might therefore go away if a few strong ones dominate. Finally, if maxcand < cands < 2 * maxcand, we eliminate the cands - maxcand candidates with smallest ratings.

That policy might seem peculiar, but it reflects the reality of combinatorial search problems: If the problem is easy, we don't care if we solve it in 2 seconds or .00002 seconds. On the other hand if the problem is so difficult that it can only be solved by looking ahead more than we can accomplish in a reasonable time, we might as well face the fact that we won't solve it anyway. (There's no point in looking ahead at 60 variables at depth 60, because we won't be able to deal with more than 2^{50} or so nodes in any reasonable search tree.)

```
97* ⟨ Preselect a set of candidate variables for lookahead 97*⟩ ≡
⟨ Put the ratings in rating 95*⟩;
maxcand = (level ≡ 0 ? freevars : levelcand/level);
if (maxcand < mincutoff) maxcand = mincutoff;</li>
⟨ Put all free participants into the initial list of candidates 98⟩;
⟨ Pare down the candidates to at most maxcand 101⟩;
This code is used in section 87.
```

98. The next stage in this winnowing-down process tries to avoid any variable that hasn't participated in a ternary clause that has been reduced; otherwise we might find ourselves trying to solve several independent problems at the same time. In order to weed out "newbies" (nonparticipants), we allow x to be a candidate only if vmem[x].pfx and vmem[x].len specify a string that's a prefix of the current node's string. (However, we rescind this restriction if it gives us no candidates. For example, at level 0 there are no participants, because we haven't reduced any clauses.)

If the V option is being used, to distinguish "primary" variables, we consider a nonprimary variable to be a nonparticipant (so that it will not normally become a candidate).

```
\langle Put all free participants into the initial list of candidates 98\rangle \equiv
  no\_newbies = (plevel > 0);
init_cand: for (cands = k = 0, sum = 0.0; k < freevars; k++) {
    o, x = freevar[k];
    o, stamp[x] = 0;
                          /* erase all former assignments */
    if (no_newbies) {
       if (x > primary\_vars) continue;
       o, t = vmem[x].pfx, l = vmem[x].len;
       if (l \equiv plevel) {
         if (t \neq prefix) continue;
                                          /* not a participant */
       } else if (l > plevel) continue;
       else if (t \neq (l < 32 ? prefix \& -(uint)(1_{LL} \ll (32 - l)) : prefix)) continue;
    oo, cand[cands].var = x, cand[cands].rating = rating[x];
    cands ++, sum += rating[x];
  if (cands \equiv 0) {
    (If all clauses are satisfied, goto satisfied 99);
    no\_newbies = 0;
    goto init_cand;
                          /* if there are no participants, accept all comers */
This code is used in section 97*.
```

46 PRESELECTION SAT11 §99

```
99. \langle If all clauses are satisfied, goto satisfied 99\rangle \equiv
  for (j = 0; j < freevars; j++)  {
     o, x = freevar[j];
     l = poslit(x);
     \langle \text{If } l \text{ implies any unsatisfied clauses, } \mathbf{goto} \ nogood \ 100^* \rangle;
     \langle \text{If } l \text{ implies any unsatisfied clauses, } \mathbf{goto} \ nogood \ 100^* \rangle;
  goto satisfied;
nogood:
This code is used in section 98.
100* \langle If l implies any unsatisfied clauses, goto nogood 100*\rangle \equiv
  if (o, kinx[bar(l)].size) goto nogood;
                                               /* all active kinxs are unsatisfied */
  for (o, la = bimp[l].addr, ls = bimp[l].size; ls; la++, ls--) {
     o, u = mem[la];
     if (o, stamp[thevar(u)] \neq real\_truth + (u \& 1)) goto nogood;
  }
This code is used in section 99.
101. At this point we've got cands candidates in the cand array, and sum is the sum of their ratings. The
next task is to eliminate low-rated candidates, if we have too many to handle.
\langle \text{ Pare down the candidates to at most } maxcand | 101 \rangle \equiv
  for (k = 1; cands \ge 2 * maxcand \land k;)
     register float mean = 0.9999 * sum/(double) cands;
     for (j = k = 0, sum = 0.0; j < cands;)
       if (o, cand[j].rating \ge mean) sum += cand[j].rating, j++;
       else oo, k = 1, cand[j] = cand[--cands];
                                                          /* don't advance j, discard a loser */
  if (cands > maxcand) \( Select the maxcand best-rated candidates 102 \);
  if (cands \equiv 0) confusion("cands");
This code is used in section 97*.
```

 $\S102$ SAT11 PRESELECTION 47

102. Here we make the *cand* array into a heap, with low-rated elements in the lowest positions. Then we delete the ones we don't want. (See Algorithm 5.2.3H. The heap condition is

```
cand[i].rating \leq cand[2*i+1].rating
                                                                 and
                                                                           cand[i].rating \leq cand[2*i+2].rating
whenever the subscripts are nonnegative and less than cands.)
\langle Select the maxcand best-rated candidates 102\rangle \equiv
     j = cands \gg 1;
                             /* the heap condition holds for i \geq j */
     while (j > 0) {
        \langle \operatorname{Sift} \ cand [j] \ \operatorname{up} \ 103 \rangle;
     while (1) {
        oo, cand[0] = cand[--cands];
                                                  /* discard a loser */
        if (cands \equiv maxcand) break;
        \langle \operatorname{Sift} \ cand[j] \ \operatorname{up} \ 103 \rangle;
  }
This code is used in section 101.
103. \langle \text{Sift } cand[j] \text{ up } 103 \rangle \equiv
  {
     register float r;
     cdata c;
     o, c = cand[j], r = c.rating;
     for (i = j, jj = (j \ll 1) + 1; jj < cands; i = jj, jj = (jj \ll 1) + 1) {
        \textbf{if } (jj+1 < cands \land (o, cand[jj+1].rating < cand[jj].rating)) \ jj ++; \\
        if (o, r \leq cand[jj].rating) break;
        o, cand[i] = cand[jj];
     if (i > j) o, cand[i] = c;
```

This code is used in section 102.

48 STRONG COMPONENTS SAT11 §104

104. Strong components. If the binary implication graph has a nontrivial strong component, all literals in that component are locked together: Any one of their values determines all the rest. Therefore we don't want to bother looking ahead on two variables that have literals in the same strong component.

Robert Tarjan has devised a beautiful algorithm that finds the strong components very efficiently [SIAM Journal on Computing 1 (1972), 146–160]; and his algorithm also produces a topological sort on the representatives of those components, as an extra bonus. We are going to want the preselected candidates to be topologically sorted, because that will speed up the lookaheads that we'll be doing. Therefore Tarjan's algorithm is a perfect fit for our present situation.

Note: We are going to restrict ourselves to direct implications between candidates, instead of considering indirect chains of implications $l_0 \to l_1 \to \cdots \to l_k$ with k > 1, where l_0 and l_k are candidates but the intermediate literals l_1, \ldots, l_{k-1} are not. The efficiency of Tarjan's algorithm suggests that we could consider the full digraph instead of its restriction to candidates only, perhaps before deciding on the list of candidates. However, cases in which indirect implications provide significant information appear to be rare. (At least, the author has yet to see a single instance where two chosen candidates, in the most time-consuming parts of a search tree, are implicitly linked without also being explicitly linked.) It seems that the variables chosen to be candidates almost never have important non-candidate neighbors.

The following implementation of Tarjan's algorithm follows the steps that appear on pages 513–519 of *The Stanford GraphBase*. The reader is referred to that book, which explains the procedure in terms of an explorer who searches the rooms of a cave, for full details and proofs of correctness.

The algorithm uses five integer fields in each literal's *lmem* record:

rank is initially 0, then positive, finally ∞ , when l is respectively unseen, then active, finally settled.

parent points to a lower-ranked literal in the current oriented tree of active literals (or to 0 at the root), when l is active; it points to the component representative when l is settled.

untagged tells how many of l's successors haven't been explored.

link is a link in the stack of active vertices or the stack of settled vertices.

min is Tarjan's brilliant invention that makes everything work fast.

We add also a sixth field, *vcomp*, which is a component member of maximum rating.

Our instrumentation counts *mems* by assuming that *rank* and *link* are accessed simultaneously as an octabyte, as are *untagged* and *min*, *parent* and *vcomp*.

```
⟨ Determine the strong components; goto look_bad if there's a contradiction 104⟩ ≡
⟨ Make all vertices unseen and all arcs untagged 106⟩;
for (i = 0; i < cands; i++) {
    o, l = poslit(cand[i].var);
    check_rank: if (o, lmem[l].rank ≡ 0) ⟨ Perform a depth-first search with l as root, finding the strong
        components of all vertices reachable from l 112⟩;
    if ((l & 1) ≡ 0) {
        l++; goto check_rank;
    }
    }
    if (verbose & show_strong_comps) ⟨ Print the strong components 105⟩;</pre>
This code is used in section 87.
```

§105 SAT11 STRONG COMPONENTS 49

```
{ Print the strong components 105 } \equiv { fprintf(stderr, "Strong_{\square}components: \n"); } for (<math>l = settled; l; l = lmem[l].link) { fprintf(stderr, "_{\square}"O"s"O".8s_{\square}", litname(l)); } if (<math>lmem[l].parent \neq l) fprintf(stderr, "with_{\square}"O"s"O".8s_{\square}", litname(lmem[l].parent)); } else { if (<math>lmem[l].vcomp \neq l) fprintf(stderr, "->_{\square}"O"s"O".8s_{\square}", litname(lmem[l].vcomp)); } fprintf(stderr, ""O".4g_{n}", rating[thevar(lmem[l].vcomp)]); } } } } }
```

This code is used in section 104.

106. Candidates are marked with *bstamp* here so that they can be distinguished from non-candidates. Then we make a new copy of the *bimp* data, abbreviating it so that only the candidates are listed.

An arbitrary upper bound is placed on the total number of arcs in this reduced digraph, because perfect accuracy is not important at this stage. The default limit, $max_prelook_arcs = 10000$, can be changed if desired. Care is needed when we stick to such a limit, because we want the arc $u \to v$ to be present if and only if its dual $\bar{v} \to \bar{u}$ is also present.

```
\langle Make all vertices unseen and all arcs untagged 106\rangle \equiv
  \langle \text{Bump } bstamp \text{ to a unique value } 66 \rangle;
  for (i = 0; i < cands; i++) {
     o, l = poslit(cand[i].var);
     oo, lmem[l].rank = 0, lmem[l].arcs = -1, lmem[l].bstamp = bstamp;
     oo, lmem[l+1].rank = 0, lmem[l+1].arcs = -1, lmem[l+1].bstamp = bstamp;
  \langle \text{Copy all the relevant arcs to } cand\_arc 110 \rangle;
  for (i = 0; i < cands; i++) {
     o, l = poslit(cand[i].var);
     oo, lmem[l].untagged = lmem[l].arcs;
     oo, lmem[l+1].untagged = lmem[l+1].arcs;
              /* this is the number of vertices "seen" by Tarjan's algorithm */
                              /* the active and settled stacks are empty */
  active = settled = 0:
This code is used in section 104.
107. \langle \text{Type definitions 5} \rangle + \equiv
  typedef struct arc_struct {
    uint tip;
                    /* the implied literal */
                    /* next arc from the implier literal, or -1 */
     int next;
  } arc;
108. \langle \text{Global variables } 3^* \rangle + \equiv
                       /* the arcs in a reduced digraph */
  \mathbf{arc} * cand\_arc;
  int cand_arc_alloc; /* how many arc slots have we used so far? */
                   /* top of the linked stack of active vertices */
  int active;
  int settled;
                    /* top of the linked stack of settled vertices */
```

50 STRONG COMPONENTS SAT11 $\S 109$

```
The number of bytes used will be adjusted dynamically.
\langle Allocate special arrays 58\rangle + \equiv
  max\_prelook\_arcs \&= -2;
                                   /* make sure max_prelook_arcs is even */
  cand\_arc = (arc *) malloc(max\_prelook\_arcs * sizeof(arc));
  if (\neg cand\_arc) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup} can't_{\sqcup} allocate_{\sqcup} the_{\sqcup} cand_{arc_{\sqcup} array! \n"});
     exit(-10);
  }
110. (Copy all the relevant arcs to cand_arc 110) \equiv
  for (j = i = 0; i < cands; i++) {
     o, l = poslit(cand[i].var);
     \langle \text{ Copy the arcs from } l \text{ into the } cand\_arc \text{ array } 111 \rangle;
     \langle \, \text{Copy the arcs from } l \, \, \text{into the } \, cand\_arc \, \, \text{array 111} \, \rangle;
  }
arcs\_done: if (j > cand\_arc\_alloc)
                                           /* we've copied more arcs than ever before */
     bytes += (j - cand\_arc\_alloc) * sizeof(arc), cand\_arc\_alloc = j;
This code is used in section 106.
111. Beware: We reverse the ordering here, placing an arc u \to v into cand_arc when there's an implication
v \to u in the bimp table. This switcheroo will produce strong components in a more desirable order.
\langle \text{Copy the arcs from } l \text{ into the } cand\_arc \text{ array } 111 \rangle \equiv
  for (oo, la = bimp[l].addr, ls = bimp[l].size, p = lmem[bar(l)].arcs; ls; la ++, ls --) {
     o, u = mem[la];
                                  /* we enter arcs in pairs, only when l < u */
     if (u < l) continue;
     if (o, lmem[u].bstamp \neq bstamp) continue; /* not a candidate */
          /* now l \to u is an implication, and u > l */
     o, cand\_arc[j].tip = bar(u), cand\_arc[j].next = p, p = j;
                                                                        /* make arc \bar{l} \to \bar{u} */
     oo, cand\_arc[j+1].tip = l, cand\_arc[j+1].next = lmem[u].arcs;
     o, lmem[u].arcs = j + 1, j += 2;
                                            /* make arc u \to l */
     if (j \equiv max\_prelook\_arcs) {
       if (verbose & show_details)
          fprintf(stderr, "prelook\_arcs\_cut\_off\_at\_"O"d;\_see\_option\_z\n", max\_prelook\_arcs);
       o, lmem[bar(l)].arcs = lmem[bar(l)].untagged = p;
       goto arcs_done;
  o, lmem[bar(l)].arcs = lmem[bar(l)].untagged = p;
This code is used in section 110.
112. \langle Perform a depth-first search with l as root, finding the strong components of all vertices reachable
       from l 112 \rangle \equiv
     v = l:
     o, lmem[l].parent = 0;
     \langle \text{ Make vertex } v \text{ active } 113 \rangle;
     do (Explore one step from the current vertex v, possibly moving to another current vertex and calling
          it v \ 114 while (v > 0);
This code is used in section 104.
```

§113 SAT11

```
113. \langle \text{Make vertex } v \text{ active } 113 \rangle \equiv o, lmem[v].rank = ++k; lmem[v].link = active, active = v; o, lmem[v].min = v; This code is used in sections 112 and 114.
```

114. Minor point: No mem is charged for setting lmem[v].min = u here, because lmem[v].untagged could have been set at the same time.

```
\langle Explore one step from the current vertex v, possibly moving to another current vertex and calling
      it v 114 \rangle \equiv
    o, vv = lmem[v].untagged, ll = lmem[v].min;
    if (vv \geq 0) {
                     /* still more to explore from v */
      o, u = cand\_arc[vv].tip, vv = cand\_arc[vv].next;
       o, lmem[v].untagged = vv;
       o, j = lmem[u].rank;
                  /* we've seen u already */
       if (j) {
         if (o, j < lmem[ll].rank) lmem[v].min = u;
                                                          /* nontree arc, just update v's min */
       } else { /* u is newly seen */
                                /* a new tree arc goes v \to u */
         lmem[u].parent = v;
         v = u; /* u will now be the current vertex */
         \langle \text{ Make vertex } v \text{ active } 113 \rangle;
       }
    } else { /* v becomes mature */
       o, u = lmem[v].parent;
       if (v \equiv ll) (Remove v and all its successors on the active stack from the tree, and mark them as a
             strong component of the digraph 115 \rangle
                 /* the arc u \to v has matured, making v's min visible from u */
         if (ooo, lmem[ll].rank < lmem[lmem[u].min].rank) o, lmem[u].min = ll;
      v = u;
                  /* the former parent of v becomes the new current vertex v */
```

This code is used in section 112.

52 Strong components Sati1 §115

115. When v is the representative of a strong component, all vertices of that component henceforth regard v as their parent.

If v represents the strong component of u and if w represents the strong component of bar(u), we won't always have w = bar(v). But we take pains to ensure that lmem[v].vcomp = bar(lmem[w].vcomp).

```
#define infty badlit
```

```
\langle Remove v and all its successors on the active stack from the tree, and mark them as a strong component
      of the digraph 115 \rangle \equiv
    float r, rr;
    t = active;
    o, r = rating[thevar(v)], w = v;
    o, active = lmem[v].link;
                               /* settle v */
    o, lmem[v].rank = infty;
    lmem[v].link = settled, settled = t; /* move the component from active to settled */
    while (t \neq v) {
      if (t \equiv bar(v)) {
                           /* component contains complementary literals */
         if (verbose \& show\_gory\_details) fprintf(stderr, "the_\binary_\clauses_\are_\inconsistent\n");
         goto look_bad;
      o, lmem[t].rank = infty;
                                   /* now t is settled */
      o, lmem[t].parent = v;
                                 /* and its strong component is represented by v */
      o, rr = rating[thevar(t)];
      if (rr > r) r = rr, w = t;
      o, t = lmem[t].link;
    o, lmem[v].parent = v, lmem[v].vcomp = w; /* v represents itself */
     if \ (o, lmem[bar(v)].rank \equiv infty) \ oo, lmem[v].vcomp = bar(lmem[bar(v)].parent].vcomp); \\
```

This code is used in section 114.

116. The lookahead forest. Now we come to what is probably the nicest part of this whole program, an elegant mechanism by which much of the potential lookahead computation is avoided.

Suppose we've decided to look ahead on the consequences of literals l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n , in that order. The current binary implications tell us that, if l_j is true, then also l_i must be true for certain i. If i < j, we've already deduced the consequences of l_i , so we prefer not to do that again. On the other hand l_j probably doesn't imply all of l_1, \ldots, l_{i-1} ; so we want to be selective, to reuse only part of the information that we've already discovered.

The stamping principle provides a way to do that. Suppose $p_1p_2...p_n$ is a permutation of $\{1,...,n\}$, and suppose we stamp true/false values at level p_j when we are looking at consequences of l_j . Then, when l_j is current, the value of a literal will be considered unknown if its stamp is less than p_j , but it will be implied by l_j if it has been deduced by any of the previous literals l_i with i < j and $p_i > p_j$.

If, for example, n=4 and $p_1p_2p_3p_4=3142$, then l_2 can assume all consequences of l_1 (because $p_1>p_2$); and l_4 can assume all of the consequences of l_1 and l_3 , but not l_2 (because $p_1>p_4$ and $p_3>p_4$ but $p_2< p_4$). This permutation captures the shortcuts that are legitimate when we have the implications $l_2\to l_1$, $l_4\to l_1$, and $l_4\to l_3$.

A set of implications that can be defined by a permutation in this way is called a "permutation poset." When I first noticed this connection between permutation posets and stamping, I excitedly thought, "Aha! Permutation posets are ideal for lookahead in a SAT solver." Unfortunately, however, I soon learned that lookahead is much more subtle than I'd realized, and I was compelled to abandon that optimistic sentiment; my current thinking is, "Alas! Only a few permutation posets will work well for lookahead in a SAT solver."

The example above, which is based on the notorious pi-mutation 3142, illustrates the problem if we examine it closely: When literal l_3 is processed, we don't want occurrences of \bar{l}_1 to be removed from the current clauses, because l_3 doesn't imply l_1 . But when l_4 is processed, we do want \bar{l}_1 to be suppressed, as well as \bar{l}_3 , because $l_4 \to l_1$ and $l_4 \to l_3$.

On the other hand the permutation $4\,1\,3\,2$ does lead to a good scenario. It corresponds to the dependencies $l_2 \to l_1, \ l_3 \to l_1, \ l_4 \to l_3$ (hence also $l_4 \to l_1$). Now l_3 can assume the consequences of l_1 (but not l_2), and we can remove \bar{l}_1 from the clauses when we work on l_3 . Again l_4 can assume the consequences of l_1 and l_3 (but not l_2); and this time it's convenient to remove \bar{l}_3 from the clauses that have already been purged of \bar{l}_1 . The point is that the purging of negative literals has the same implicit recursive structure as the visibility of stamps.

The permutations that work properly are those that don't contain a substring a b c with c < a < b (like the substring 3 4 2 in 3 1 4 2). And such permutations are well known: They are the so-called *stack permutations*. [See *The Art of Computer Programming*, exercise 2.2.1–5. Actually our permutations are the reverses or the inverses of the stack permutations described there.] Moreover, they correspond precisely to dependencies that form an oriented forest, and the correspondence is also well known and quite nice: "If u and v are nodes of a forest, u is a proper ancestor of v if and only if u precedes v in preorder and u follows v in postorder" [TAOCP exercise 2.3.2–20].

In general we've chosen candidate literals with certain known dependencies. We would like to find an oriented forest, contained within those dependencies, having as many arcs as possible.

The task of finding the largest oriented forest contained in a given partially ordered set is probably NP-complete. But two things make our task feasible in practice. First, the number of variables for which we need to study dependencies is not very large, during the bulk of the calculations; it's at most a few dozen, except at shallow depth. Second, the dependencies aren't usually extensive; at most ten or so variables are in any connected component of the typical digraphs that arise. So we need only come up with a decent way to handle small examples. It doesn't matter if our subforests are crude in unusual cases.

54 THE LOOKAHEAD FOREST SAT11 §117

117. When the program below begins its work, we will have reduced the strong components of the candidates' digraph and placed the component representatives into topological order. That order isn't necessarily the one we seek for the oriented forest, but it facilitates the computations we need to do. We use it to rank the literals in yet another way, this time by "height," namely by the length of a longest path from a source vertex. Then every literal u of height h > 0 has a predecessor vertex v of height h - 1. We will use the oriented forest that is defined by those predecessor links—using the fact that $v \to u$ is an implication in bimp[v] when u has an arc to v in the $cand_arc$ digraph.

```
\langle Construct a suitable forest 117\rangle \equiv \langle Find the heights and the child/sibling links 118\rangle; \langle Construct the look table 122\rangle; This code is used in section 87.
```

118. If u represents a strong component we will change lmem[u]. untagged to a height value; and we'll also make lmem[u]. min point to child of u in the forest being constructed. Those fields are therefore renamed height and child, to reflect their new function. The link fields will also acquire a new significance, although we'll keep calling them link: They will point to siblings in the forest, namely to vertices with the same parent.

The dummy literal 1 will play the role of a global root, whose children are all of the source vertices (the vertices of height 0).

```
#define height untagged
#define child min
#define root 1
\langle Find the heights and the child/sibling links 118\rangle \equiv
  o, lmem[root].child = 0, lmem[root].height = -1, pp = root;
  for (u = settled; u; u = uu) {
    oo, uu = lmem[u].link, p = lmem[u].parent;
    if (p \neq pp) h = 0, w = root, pp = p; /* pp is previous strong component representative */
    for (o, j = lmem[bar(u)].arcs; j \ge 0; j = cand\_arc[j].next) {
       o, v = bar(cand\_arc[j].tip);
                                      /* we look at the predecessors v of u */
       o, vv = lmem[v].parent;
       if (vv \equiv p) continue;
                                  /* ignore an arc within the current component */
       o, hh = lmem[vv].height;
       if (hh \ge h) h = hh + 1, w = vv;
    if (p \equiv u) {
       o, v = lmem[w].child;
       oo, lmem[u].height = h, lmem[u].child = 0, lmem[u].link = v;
       o, lmem[w].child = u;
```

This code is used in section 117.

119. The results of our oriented forest computation are placed into an array of ldata called look. The lookahead process will examine literals look[0].lit, look[1].lit, ..., look[looks-1].lit, in that order; and the current stamp while studying the implications of look[k].lit will be the even number base + look[k].offset, where base is the smallest stamp in the current iteration.

(Cognoscenti will understand that there is one entry in this array for each strong component that was found in the implication digraph of candidates.)

```
\langle \text{Type definitions 5} \rangle + \equiv
  typedef struct ldata_struct {
                     /* a literal for lookahead */
     uint lit;
                         /* the offset of its stamp */
     uint offset;
  } ldata;
120. \langle Global variables 3^* \rangle + \equiv
                        /* specification of the oriented forest for lookaheads */
  ldata *look;
  int looks:
                     /* the number of current entries in look */
121. \langle Allocate special arrays 58\rangle + \equiv
  look = (\mathbf{ldata} *) \ malloc(lits * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{ldata}));
  if (\neg look) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup}can't_{\sqcup}allocate_{\sqcup}the_{\sqcup}look_{\sqcup}array! \n");
   bytes += lits * sizeof(ldata);
```

122. Here's a standard "double order" traversal [TAOCP exercise 2.3.1–18] as we list the literals in preorder while filling in their offsets according to postorder.

We've constructed the tree using literals that are representatives of the strong components produced by Tarjan's algorithm. But the lookahead process will use the *vcomp* representatives instead.

```
\langle \text{Construct the } look \text{ table } 122 \rangle \equiv
  o, u = lmem[root].child, j = k = v = 0;
  while (1) {
    oo, look[k].lit = lmem[u].vcomp;
    o, lmem[u].rank = k++;
                               /* k advances in preorder */
    if (o, lmem[u].child) {
       o, lmem[u].parent = v;
                                /* fix parent temporarily for traversal */
       v = u, u = lmem[u].child; /* descend to u's descendants */
    } else {
    post: o, i = lmem[u].rank;
       o, look[i].offset = j, j += 2;
                                       /* j advances in postorder */
       if (v) oo, lmem[u].parent = lmem[v].vcomp;
                                                       /* fix parent for lookahead */
       else o, lmem[u].parent = 0;
                                                   /* move to u's next sibling */
       if (o, lmem[u].link) u = lmem[u].link;
       else if (v) {
         o, u = v, v = lmem[u].parent;
                                           /* after the last sibling, move to u's parent */
         goto post;
       } else break;
  looks = k;
  if (j \neq k + k) confusion ("looks");
This code is used in section 117.
```

56 §123 LOOKING AHEAD SAT11

123* Looking ahead. The lookahead process has much in common with what we do when making a decision at a branch node, except that we don't make drastic changes to the data structures. We don't assign any truth values at levels higher than proto_truth; and that level is reserved for literals that will be forced true if the lookahead procedure finds no contradictions. We don't create new binary implications when a ternary clause gets a false literal; we estimate the potential benefit of such binary implications instead.

The literals that we want to study have been selected and placed in look by the prelookahead procedures discussed above. We run through them repeatedly until making a full pass without finding any new forced literals.

```
Look ahead and gather data about how to make the next branch; but goto look_bad if a contradiction
       arises 123*\rangle \equiv
  \langle Do \text{ the prelookahead } 87 \rangle;
  if (verbose & show_looks) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Looks_lat_level_l"O"d: \n", level);
     for (i = 0; i < looks; i++)
       fprintf(stderr, "\_"O"s"O".8s\_"O"d\n", litname(look[i].lit), look[i].offset);
  fl = forcedlits, last\_change = -1, fptr = rptr;
  base = 2;
  while (1)
     for (looki = 0; looki < looks; looki ++) {
       if (looki \equiv last\_change) goto look\_done;
       o, l = look[looki].lit, cs = base + look[looki].offset;
        \langle \text{Look ahead at consequences of } l, \text{ and } \mathbf{goto} \ look\_bad \text{ if a conflict is found } 126 \rangle;
     look\_on: if (forcedlits > fl) fl = forcedlits, last\_change = looki;
     if (last\_change \equiv -1) break;
                              /* forget small truths */
     base += 2 * looks;
     if (base + 2 * looks > proto\_truth) break;
look\_done: cs = near\_truth;
  \langle \text{Reset } fptr \text{ by removing unfixed literals from } rstack \ 161^* \rangle;
This code is used in section 59.
        The base keeps rising during a lookahead, never decreasing again. We had better use 64 bits for it,
```

so that overflow won't be overlooked in large instances.

```
\langle \text{Global variables } 3^* \rangle + \equiv
  ullng base, last_base;
                              /* base address for stamps with offsets from look */
                       /* array of forced literals */
  uint *forcedlit;
  int forcedlits, fl;
                        /* the number of forced literals */
                       /* where in the array did we last make progress? */
  int last_change;
                 /* index of our position in look */
                   /* the literal whose consequences we are exploring */
  uint looklit:
  uint old_looklit; /* the literal whose consequences we were exploring */
```

 $\S125$ SAT11 LOOKING AHEAD 57

125. Again we want a fast way to make literals "snap into place" when they're directly implied by an assumption that we're making.

Here we clone the former binary propagation loop for purposes of lookahead: Instead of going to *conflict* if a contradiction arises, we go to *contra*, because the contradiction of a tentative assumption does not necessarily imply a real conflict.

Although the lookahead algorithms use rstack for breadth-first search, they never change rptr, nor do they fix any literals at more than the $proto_truth$ level.

```
\langle Propagate binary lookahead implications of l; goto contra if a contradiction arises 125 \rangle \equiv
  if (isfixed(l)) {
    if (iscontrary(l)) goto contra;
  } else {
    if (verbose & show_gory_details) {
       if (cs \ge proto\_truth) fprintf(stderr, "protofixing_{\sqcup}"O"s"O".8s\n", litname(l));
       else fprintf(stderr, ""O"dfixing_{\sqcup}"O"s"O".8s\n", cs, litname(l));
    stamptrue(l);
    lfptr = eptr;
    o, rstack[eptr++] = l;
    while (lfptr < eptr) {
       o, l = rstack[lfptr++];
       for (o, la = bimp[l].addr, ls = bimp[l].size; ls; la++, ls--) {
         o, lp = mem[la];
         if (isfixed(lp)) {
           if (iscontrary(lp)) goto contra;
         } else {
           if (verbose & show_gory_details) {
              if (cs \ge proto\_truth) fprintf(stderr, "\_protofixing\_"O"s"O".8s\n", litname(lp));
              else fprintf(stderr, "u"O"dfixingu"O"s"O".8s\n", cs, litname(lp));
            stamptrue(lp);
           o, rstack[eptr++] = lp;
       }
```

This code is used in sections 132* and 135*.

58 LOOKING AHEAD SAT11 $\S126$

126. An example will make it easier to visualize the current context. Suppose the relevant binary clauses are $(\bar{b} \lor a) \land (\bar{c} \lor a) \land (\bar{d} \lor c)$. Then the *look* array might contain the sequence \bar{b} , a, b, c, d, \bar{d} , \bar{c} , \bar{a} , with respective offsets 0, 8, 2, 6, 4, 14, 12, 10. The parent of c is then a; the parent of d is c; the parent of \bar{c} is \bar{d} ; the parent of \bar{a} is \bar{c} ; and a, \bar{b} , \bar{d} are roots with no parent.

```
\langle \text{Look ahead at consequences of } l, \text{ and } \mathbf{goto} \text{ look\_bad} \text{ if a conflict is found } 126 \rangle \equiv
  looklit = l;
  o, ll = lmem[looklit].parent;
  if (ll) oo, lmem[looklit].wnb = lmem[ll].wnb;
                                                          /* inherit from parent */
  else o, lmem[l].wnb = 0.0;
  if (verbose & show_gory_details)
     fprintf(stderr, "looking_at_"O"s"O".8s_("O"d)\n", litname(looklit), cs);
  if (isfixed(l)) {
     if (iscontrary(l) \land stamp[thevar(l)] < proto\_truth)
       ⟨ Force looklit to be (proto) false, and complement it 129⟩;
  } else {
     (Update lookahead data structures for consequences of looklit; but goto contra if a contradiction
          arises 132*;
     if (weighted_new_binaries \equiv 0) \langle Exploit an autarky 127\rangle
     else o, lmem[looklit].wnb += weighted\_new\_binaries;
     (Do a double lookahead from looklit, if that seems advisable 142);
     (Check for necessary assignments 139);
This code is used in section 123*.
```

This code is used in section 125.

127. Here we implement an extension of the classical "pure literal" rule: We have just looked at all the consequences obtainable by repeated propagation of unit clauses when *looklit* is assumed to be true, and we've found no contradiction. Suppose we've also discovered no "new weighted binaries"; this means that, whenever we have reduced a clause from size s to size s' < s during this process, the reduced size s' is 1. (For if s' = 0 we would have had a contradiction, while if 1 < s' < s we would have increased $new_weighted_binaries$.)

In such a case, the set of literals deducible from looklit is said to form an autarky, and we are allowed to assume that looklit is true. Indeed, those literals $\{l_1, \ldots, l_k\}$ satisfy every clause that contains either l_i or \bar{l}_i for any i. If the remaining "untouched" clauses are satisfiable, we can satisfy all the clauses by using $\{l_1, \ldots, l_k\}$ in the clauses that are touched; and if we can satisfy all the clauses, we can certainly satisfy the untouched ones.

```
(I learned this trick in January 2013 from Marijn Heule.)

⟨Exploit an autarky 127⟩ ≡

{
    if (lmem[looklit].wnb ≡ 0) {
        if (verbose & show_gory_details) fprintf(stderr, "uautarkyuatu"O"s"O".8s\n", litname(looklit));
        looklit = bar(looklit); /* complement looklit temporarily */
        ⟨Force looklit to be (proto) false, and complement it 129⟩;
    } else {
        ll = lmem[looklit].parent;
        if (verbose & show_gory_details)
            fprintf(stderr, "uautarkyu"O"s"O".8su->u"O"s"O".8s\n", litname(ll), litname(looklit));
        ⟨Make ll equivalent to looklit 128*⟩;
    }
}
```

This code is used in section 126.

128.* Furthermore, if lmem[looklit].wnb is nonzero, we know that we set it to lmem[ll].wnb where ll is the parent of looklit. In that case, if the assertion of looklit gives no new weighted new binaries in addition to those obtained from ll, the variables deducible from looklit are an autarky with respect to the set of clauses that are reduced by ll; so we are allowed to assume that looklit itself is implied by ll. (Think about it.) In other words, adding the additional clause $\neg ll \lor looklit$ does not make the set of clauses any less satisfiable.

This additional clause is special, because it cannot in general be derived by resolution.

We already have the clause $\neg look lit \lor ll$, because ll is the parent of look lit. Thus we can conclude that both literals are equivalent in this case.

We aren't allowed to upgrade the stamp value of looklit to the stamp value of ll, because that would violate an important invariant relation: Our mechanism for undoing virtual changes to large clauses requires that the literals in rstack have monotonically decreasing levels of truth.

```
\langle \text{ Make } ll \text{ equivalent to } looklit | 128* \rangle \equiv
     u = bar(ll);
     o, au = bimp[ll].addr, su = bimp[ll].size;
     \langle \text{ Make sure that } bar(u) \text{ has an } istack \text{ entry } 74 \rangle;
     if (o, su \equiv bimp[ll].alloc) resize(ll), o, au = bimp[ll].addr;
     oo, mem[au + su] = looklit, bimp[ll].size = su + 1;
     u = looklit;
     o, au = bimp[bar(u)].addr, su = bimp[bar(u)].size;
     \langle \text{ Make sure that } bar(u) \text{ has an } istack \text{ entry } 74 \rangle;
     if (o, su \equiv bimp[bar(u)].alloc) resize (bar(u)), o, au = bimp[bar(u)].addr;
     oo, mem[au + su] = bar(ll), bimp[bar(u)].size = su + 1;
  }
This code is used in section 127.
        \langle \text{Force looklit to be (proto) false, and complement it } 129 \rangle \equiv
     looklit = bar(looklit);
     forcedlit[forcedlits ++] = looklit;
     look\_cs = cs, cs = proto\_truth;
     (Update lookahead data structures for consequences of looklit; but goto contra if a contradiction
           arises 132*;
     cs = look\_cs;
```

This code is used in sections 126, 127, 130 * , and 139.

130.* When we get to label contra, we execute the following instructions, which will "fall through" to label $look_bad$ if $cs = proto_truth$.

Roughly speaking, we've derived a contradiction after assuming that *looklit* is true. When that assumption fails, we make *looklit* proto-false. A second failure at the proto-false level is a real conflict, and it will require backtracking.

```
⟨ Recover from a lookahead contradiction 130*⟩ ≡
  if (cs < proto_truth) {
    ⟨ Force looklit to be (proto) false, and complement it 129⟩;
    goto look_on;
  }
  cs = near_truth;
  ⟨ Reset fptr by removing unfixed literals from rstack 161*⟩;
This code is used in section 84.</pre>
```

60 Looking Ahead sati1 §131

131* A new breadth-first search is launched here, as we assert *looklit* at truth level cs and derive the ramifications of that assertion. If, for example, cs = 50, we will make *looklit* (and all other literals that it implies) true at level 50, unless they're already true at levels 52 or above.

132.* We've implicitly removed bar(looklit) from all of the active clauses. Now we must put it back, if its truth value was set at a lower level than cs.

The consequences of *looklit* might include "windfalls," which are unfixed literals that are the only survivors of a clause whose other literals have become false. Windfalls will be placed on the *wstack*, which is cleared here

```
(Update lookahead data structures for consequences of looklit; but goto contra if a contradiction
        arises 132^* \rangle \equiv
   \langle \text{Reset } fptr \text{ by removing unfixed literals from } rstack \ 161^* \rangle;
  wptr = 0; eptr = fptr;
  weighted\_new\_binaries = 0;
  l = looklit;
  \langle Propagate binary lookahead implications of l; goto contra if a contradiction arises 125\rangle;
  while (fptr < eptr) {
     o, ll = rstack[fptr++];
     (Update lookahead data structures for the truth of ll; but goto contra if a contradiction arises 135*);
   (Convert the windfalls to binary implications from looklit 137);
This code is used in sections 126 and 129.
133. \langle \text{Global variables } 3^* \rangle + \equiv
                       /* place to store windfalls that result from looklit */
  \mathbf{uint} * wstack;
                   /* the number of entries currently in wstack */
  float weighted_new_binaries;
                                        /* total weight of binaries that we uncover */
134. \langle Allocate special arrays 58\rangle + \equiv
  wstack = (uint *) malloc(lits * sizeof(uint));
  if (\neg wstack) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup}can't_{\sqcup}allocate_{\sqcup}the_{\sqcup}wstack_{\sqcup}array! \n");
     exit(-10);
  bytes += lits * sizeof(uint);
```

 $\S135$ SAT11 LOOKING AHEAD 61

135* Windfalls and the weighted potentials for new binaries are discovered here, as we "virtually remove" bar(ll) from the active clauses in which it appears.

If all but one of the literals in such a clause has now been fixed false at the current level, we put the remaining one on *bstack* for subsequent analysis.

A conflict arises if all literals are fixed false. In such cases we set bptr = -1 instead of going immediately to contra; otherwise backtracking would be more complicated.

```
\langle Update lookahead data structures for the truth of ll; but goto contra if a contradiction arises 135*\rangle
  bptr = 0;
  if (verbose \& show\_gory\_details) fprintf(stderr, "<math>\sqcup("O"s"O".8s\sqcuplookout)\n", litname(bar(ll)));
  for (o, tla = kinx[bar(ll)].addr, tls = kinx[bar(ll)].size; tls; tla++, tls--) {
     o, c = kmem[tla];
     o, la = cinx[c].addr, ls = cinx[c].size - 1;
     o, cinx[c].size = ls;
     if (ls \geq 2) weighted_new_binaries += clause_weight[ls];
     else if (bptr \ge 0) \(\rightarrow\) Put the remaining literal of c into bstack \ 136^*\);
  if (bptr < 0) goto contra;
  while (bptr) {
     o, u = bstack[--bptr].u;
     if (isfixed(u)) {
       if (iscontrary(u)) goto contra;
     } else {
       wstack[wptr++] = l = u;
       (Propagate binary lookahead implications of l; goto contra if a contradiction arises 125);
  }
This code is used in section 132*.
136.* The remaining literal may have become fixed, but not yet virtually removed (because it lies between
fptr and eptr on rstack).
\langle \text{ Put the remaining literal of } c \text{ into } bstack \ 136* \rangle \equiv
  {
     for (o, ua = cinx[c-1].addr; la < ua; la ++) {
       o, u = cmem[la];
       if (\neg isfixed(u)) break;
       if (iscontrary(u)) continue;
       u = 0; break;
                          /* c is satisfied */
    if (la \equiv ua) {
       bptr = -1;
       if (verbose & show_gory_details)
         fprintf(stderr, "lullooking" O"s"O".8s->_{ll}["O"d]\n", litname(ll), c);
     \} else if (u) \{
       o, bstack[bptr++].u = u;
       if (verbose & show_gory_details)
         fprintf(stderr, "lullookingle"O"s"O".8s->"O"s"O".8s_{ll}"O"d] \n", litname(ll), litname(u), c);
This code is used in section 135*.
```

62 Looking Ahead sati1 $\S137$

Windfalls are analogous to the compensation resolvents we saw before. \langle Convert the windfalls to binary implications from looklit 137 $\rangle \equiv$ **if** (*wptr*) { oo, sl = bimp[looklit].size, ls = bimp[looklit].alloc; \langle Make sure that *looklit* has an *istack* entry 138 \rangle ; while (sl + wptr > ls) resize (looklit), $ls \ll = 1$; o, bimp[looklit].size = sl + wptr;for (o, la = bimp[looklit].addr + sl; wptr; wptr--) { o, u = wstack[wptr - 1];o, mem[la++] = u;if (verbose & show_gory_details) $fprintf(stderr, "_windfall_"O"s"O".8s->"O"s"O".8s \n", litname(looklit), litname(u));$ o, au = bimp[bar(u)].addr, su = bimp[bar(u)].size; $\langle \text{ Make sure that } bar(u) \text{ has an } istack \text{ entry } 74 \rangle$; if $(o, su \equiv bimp[bar(u)].alloc)$ resize (bar(u)), o, au = bimp[bar(u)].addr;o, mem[au + su] = bar(looklit);o, bimp[bar(u)].size = su + 1;} This code is used in sections 132* and 143*. 138. \langle Make sure that *looklit* has an *istack* entry 138 $\rangle \equiv$ **if** $(o, lmem[looklit].istamp \neq istamp)$ { o, lmem[looklit].istamp = istamp;o, istack[iptr].lit = looklit, istack[iptr].size = sl; $\langle \text{Increase } iptr 75 \rangle;$

139. Let l = looklit. If our assumption that l is true has allowed us to conclude the truth of some other literal l', but only at a level less than $proto_truth$, we are allowed to promote this to $proto_truth$ if we also have $\bar{l} \to l'$. If we're lucky, that promotion will also trigger more consequences that we didn't have to discover the hard way.

```
 \langle \text{Check for necessary assignments } 139 \rangle \equiv \\ old\_looklit = looklit; \\ \textbf{for } (o, ola = bimp[bar(looklit)].addr, ols = bimp[bar(looklit)].size; ols; ols ---) \{ \\ o, looklit = bar(mem[ola + ols - 1]); \\ \textbf{if } ((isfixed(looklit)) \wedge (stamp[thevar(looklit)] < proto\_truth) \wedge iscontrary(looklit)) \} \{ \\ \textbf{if } (verbose \& show\_gory\_details) \\ fprintf(stderr, "\_necessary\_"O"s"O".8s\n", litname(bar(looklit))); \\ \langle \text{Force } looklit \text{ to be } (\text{proto) false, and complement it } 129 \rangle; \\ o, ola = bimp[bar(old\_looklit)].addr; /* guard against a change in ola */ \} \\ \}
```

This code is used in section 137.

This code is used in section 126.

 $\S140$ SAT11 LOOKING AHEAD 63

140. Now we're ready to select bestlit, representing our guess about the best literal on which to branch. (More precisely, thevar(bestlit) is the variable on which we shall branch. First we will try to make bestlit true. If that fails, we'll try to make it false. And if that fails, we'll backtrack to a previous node.)

The lookahead process might have identified forced literals that force the value of every variable for which we have wnb scores. If so, those literals are no longer free; they are true at the $real_truth$ level. And if one of them would have been our choice for bestlit, we set bestlit to zero because we ought to do another lookahead before branching.

We might in fact be lucky: If *freevars* is zero, the clauses have been satisfied.

```
\langle Choose bestlit, which will be the next branch tried 140\rangle \equiv
  {
     float best_score;
     if (freevars \equiv 0) goto satisfied;
     for (i = 0, best\_score = -1.0, bestlit = 0; i < looks; i++) {
       o, l = look[i].lit;
       if ((l \& 1) \equiv 0) {
          float pos, neg, score;
          oo, pos = lmem[l].wnb, neg = lmem[l+1].wnb;\\
          score = (pos + .1) * (neg + .1);
          if (verbose \& show\_gory\_details) fprintf(stderr, "\u00cu"O".8s, \u00cu"O".4g: "O".4g\u00cu"O".4g)\n",
                  vmem[thevar(l)].name.ch8, pos, neg, score);
          if (score > best\_score) {
            best\_score = score;
            bestlit = (pos > neg ? l + 1 : l);
       }
     if (\neg isfree(bestlit)) bestlit = 0;
    if (bestlit + forcedlits \equiv 0) confusion("choice");
```

This code is used in section 59.

64 DOUBLE-LOOKING AHEAD SAT11 §141

141. Double-looking ahead. Sometimes we really go out on a limb and look ahead two steps before making a decision. The goal of such a second look is to detect a branch that dies off early, resulting in a forced literal \bar{l} when looking at sufficiently many consequences of l.

Of course an extra degree of looking takes time, and we don't want to do it if the extra time isn't recouped by a better branching strategy. Here I use an elegant feedback technique of Heule and van Maaren [Lecture Notes in Computer Science 4501 (2007), 258–271], which responds adaptively to the conditions of a given problem: A "trigger" starts at zero and increases when doublelook is unsuccessful, but decreases slightly after each lookahead.

Double-lookahead has a weaker level of trustworthiness than $proto_truth$. It is the dynamically specified level dl_truth , at the top of a region of stamp space that allows for a maximum number of permitted iterations. That maximum number, dl_max_iter , is 8 by default, but of course users are allowed to fiddle with it to their hearts' content. Literals that are true at level dl_truth are conditionally true under the hypothesis that looklit is true.

```
\langle Global variables 3*\rangle + \equiv
                      /* lower bound to adjust the frequency of double-looking */
  float dl_trigger;
                    /* the doublelook analog of proto_truth */
  uint dl_truth;
                 /* the doublelook analog of looki */
  int dlooki;
  uint dlooklit;
                   /* the doublelook analog of looklit */
  \mathbf{uint} \ dl\_last\_change;
                          /* the last literal for which we forced some dl truth */
142. Oo a double lookahead from looklit, if that seems advisable 142 \ge 142
  if (level \land (o, lmem[looklit].dl\_fail \neq istamp)) {
    if (lmem[looklit].wnb > dl\_trigger) {
      if (cs + 2 * looks * ((ullng) dl\_max\_iter + 1) < proto\_truth) {
         \langle \text{ Double look ahead from } looklit; \mathbf{goto} \ contra \text{ if a contradiction arises } 143^* \rangle;
        o, dl\_trigger = lmem[looklit].wnb;
           /* increase the trigger, to discourage improbable double-looks */
        o, lmem[looklit].dLfail = istamp; /* don't try this literal again at this branch node */
```

This code is used in section 126.

§143 SAT11 DOUBLE-LOOKING AHEAD 65

143* The new settings of base, last_base, and dl_truth in this step are slightly subtle: On the first iteration, some literals may be fixed true (stampwise) because of information gained before we've started to doublelook, but only if they are implied by looklit. Those literals will be promoted to truth at level dl_truth during the course of that iteration, because a contradiction will arise when we try to set them false. On subsequent iterations, and after doublelook finishes its work, the only existing level of truth that is $\geq base$ and $< proto_truth$ will be dl_truth.

The propagation loop invoked here gets the ball rolling by making all binary implications of *looklit* true at level *dl_truth*. It will not actually **goto** *dl_contra* in spite of what it says; we have simply copied the more general code into this section for convenience, because such optimization isn't necessary at this point.

"Windfalls" during a double look are different from those we saw before: They now are literals that were forced to be true as a consequence of look lit.

```
\ Double look ahead from looklit; goto contra if a contradiction arises 143*⟩ ≡
last_base = cs + 2 * looks * dl_max_iter;
dl_truth = last_base + cs - base;
base = cs;
cs = dl_truth, l = looklit, dlooklit = l;
wptr = 0;
\ Update dlookahead data structures for consequences of dlooklit; but goto dl_contra if a contradiction arises 149*⟩;
\ Run through iterations of doublelook analogous to the iterations of ordinary lookahead 144*⟩;
\ Convert the windfalls to binary implications from looklit 137⟩;
This code is used in section 142.
```

144.* The code here and in the following sections parallels the corresponding routines in lookahead and in the basic solver, but at an even hazier and more tentative level—further removed from reality.

```
\langle Run through iterations of doublelook analogous to the iterations of ordinary lookahead 144*\rangle
  dl\_last\_change = 0;
  while (1) {
     for (dlooki = 0; dlooki < looks; dlooki ++) {
       o, l = look[dlooki].lit, cs = base + look[dlooki].offset;
       if (l \equiv dl\_last\_change) goto dlook\_done;
       \langle Doublelook ahead at consequences of l, and goto contra if a contradiction is found 146\rangle;
     dlook\_on: continue;
     if (dl\_last\_change \equiv 0) break;
     base += 2 * looks;
                              /* forget small truths */
     if (base \equiv last\_base) break;
dlook\_done: base = last\_base, cs = dl\_truth;
                                                     /* retain only dl_truth data */
  \langle Reset the doublelook fptr by removing unfixed literals from rstack 162*\rangle;
This code is used in section 143*.
```

```
145. (Propagate binary doublelookahead implications of l 145) \equiv
  if (isfixed(l)) {
     if (iscontrary(l)) goto dl_contra;
  } else {
     if (verbose & show_doubly_gory_details) {
       if (cs \ge dl\_truth) fprintf (stderr, "dlfixing_{\sqcup}"O"s"O".8s\n", litname(l));
       \mathbf{else} \ \mathit{fprintf} \, (\mathit{stderr}, \verb""O" \verb"dfixing$\sqcup"O" \verb"s"O".8s \verb", } \mathit{cs}, \mathit{litname} \, (l));
     stamptrue(l);
     lfptr = eptr;
     o, rstack[eptr++] = l;
     while (lfptr < eptr) {
       o, l = rstack[lfptr++];
       {\bf for}\ (o, la = bimp[l].addr, ls = bimp[l].size;\ ls;\ la+\!\!\!+, ls-\!\!\!-)\ \{
          o, lp = mem[la];
          if (isfixed(lp)) {
             if (iscontrary(lp)) goto dl_contra;
          } else {
             if (verbose & show_doubly_gory_details) {
               if (cs \ge dl\_truth) fprintf (stderr, "\_dlfixing\_"O"s"O".8s\n", litname(lp));
               else fprintf(stderr, "_{\sqcup}"O"dfixing_{\sqcup}"O"s"O".8s\n", cs, litname(lp));
             stamptrue(lp);
             o, rstack[eptr++] = lp;
       }
     }
  }
This code is used in sections 149* and 150*.
146. (Doublelook ahead at consequences of l, and goto contra if a contradiction is found 146) \equiv
  dlooklit = l;
  \mathbf{if}\ (\mathit{verbose}\ \&\ \mathit{show\_doubly\_gory\_details})
     fprintf(stderr, "dlooking_at_u"O"s"O".8s_u("O"d) \n", litname(dlooklit), cs);
  if (isfixed(l)) {
     if (stamp[thevar(l)] < dl\_truth \land iscontrary(l)) (Force dlooklit to be (dl) false, and complement it 147);
  } else {
     (Update dlookahead data structures for consequences of dlooklit; but goto dl_contra if a contradiction
          arises 149*;
This code is used in section 144*.
```

```
The variable dl_last_change, which keeps us doublelooking, changes only here.
\langle Force dlooklit to be (dl) false, and complement it 147\rangle \equiv
     dl\_last\_change = dlooklit;
     dlooklit = bar(dlooklit);
     dlook\_cs = cs, cs = dl\_truth;
     \(\langle \text{Update dlookahead data structures for consequences of \(d\text{looklit}\); but \(\text{goto}\) \(d\text{L-contra}\) if a contradiction
          arises 149*;
     cs = dlook\_cs;
     wstack[wptr++] = dlooklit;
  }
This code is used in sections 146 and 148.
148. When we get to label dl_contra, we execute the following instructions, which will "fall through" to
label contra if cs = dl-truth.
  Roughly speaking, we've derived a contradiction after assuming that looklit and dlooklit are true. When
that second assumption fails, we make dlooklit dl-false, assuming looklit. A second failure at the dl-false
level tells us that looklit must be false; in such a case we exit the double lookahead process.
\langle Recover from a double lookahead contradiction 148\rangle \equiv
  if (cs < dl\_truth) {
     \langle Force dlooklit to be (dl) false, and complement it 147\rangle;
     goto dlook_on;
                          /* forget all truths less than dl_truth */
  base = last\_base;
This code is used in section 84.
149.* (Update dlookahead data structures for consequences of dlooklit; but goto dl_contra if a
       contradiction arises 149*\rangle \equiv
  \langle Reset the doublelook fptr by removing unfixed literals from rstack 162* \rangle;
  eptr = fptr;
  l = dlooklit;
  \langle Propagate binary doublelookahead implications of l 145\rangle;
  while (fptr < eptr) {
```

 \langle Update dlookahead data structures for the truth of ll; but **goto** dl-contra if a contradiction

This code is used in sections 143*, 146, and 147.

o, ll = rstack[fptr++];

arises 150*;

68 DOUBLE-LOOKING AHEAD SAT11 §150

```
(Update dlookahead data structures for the truth of ll; but goto dl_contra if a contradiction
       arises 150^* \rangle \equiv
  bptr = 0;
  \mathbf{if} \ (\mathit{verbose} \ \& \ \mathit{show\_doubly\_gory\_details})
     fprintf(stderr, "u("O"s"O".8sudlookout)\n", litname(bar(ll)));
  for (o, tla = kinx[bar(ll)].addr, tls = kinx[bar(ll)].size; tls; tla++, tls--) {
     o, c = kmem[tla];
     o, la = cinx[c].addr, ls = cinx[c].size - 1;
     o, cinx[c].size = ls;
     if (ls < 2 \land bptr \ge 0) (Put the remaining doublelook literal of c into bstack 151*);
  if (bptr < 0) goto dl\_contra;
  while (bptr) {
     o, u = bstack[--bptr].u;
     if (isfixed(u)) {
       if (iscontrary(u)) goto dl_contra;
     } else {
       l = u;
       \langle Propagate binary doublelookahead implications of l 145\rangle;
  }
This code is used in section 149*.
151* \(\right\) Put the remaining doublelook literal of c into bstack \(\text{151*}\right\) \(\equiv \)
  {
     for (o, ua = cinx[c-1].addr; la < ua; la ++) {
       o, u = cmem[la];
       if (\neg isfixed(u)) break;
       if (iscontrary(u)) continue;
       u = 0; break;
                           /* c is satisfied */
    if (la \equiv ua) {
       bptr = -1;
       if (verbose & show_doubly_gory_details)
          fprintf(stderr, "\_\_dlooking\_"O"s"O".8s->_\_["O"d]\n", litname(ll), c);
     \} else if (u) \{
       o, bstack[bptr++].u = u;
       if (verbose & show_doubly_gory_details)
          fprintf(stderr, "lilldlooking_{ll}"O"s"O".8s->"O"s"O".8s_{ll}["O"d] \n", litname(ll), litname(u), c);
This code is used in section 150*.
```

 $\S152$ SAT11 DOING IT 69

```
152* Doing it. Finally we just need to put the pieces of this program together.
\langle Solve the problem 152* \rangle \equiv
  if (verbose & show_big_clauses) \( \text{Print all the big clauses to } stderr \( 155^* \);
  level = 0;
  if (forcedlits) {
     o, nstack[0].branch = -1;
     goto special_start; /* bootstrap the unary input clauses */
  }
enter\_level:
  if (sanity_checking) sanity();
  \langle Begin the processing of a new node 59\rangle;
  forcedlits = 0;
  level++;
  goto enter_level;
  \langle Recover from conflicts 84\rangle;
This code is used in section 2*.
153. \langle Print the solution found 153\rangle
  for (k = 0; k < rptr; k++) {
     printf("_{\sqcup}"O"s"O".8s", litname(rstack[k]));
     \textbf{if } (\textit{out\_file}) \textit{ fprintf} (\textit{out\_file}, " \sqcup "O " \texttt{s} "O" . \texttt{8s}", \textit{litname} (\textit{bar}(\textit{rstack}[k]))); \\
  printf("\n");
  if (freevars) {
     if (verbose & show_unused_vars) printf("(Unused:");
     for (k = 0; k < freevars; k \leftrightarrow) {
       if (verbose \& show\_unused\_vars) \ printf("\_"O".8s", vmem[freevar[k]].name.ch8);
       if (out\_file) fprintf(out\_file, "\"O".8s", vmem[freevar[k]].name.ch8);
     if (verbose & show_unused_vars) printf(")\n");
  if (out_file) fprintf(out_file, "\n");
This code is used in section 84.
154. \langle Subroutines 29\rangle + \equiv
  void confusion(char *id)
        /* an assertion has failed */
     fprintf(stderr, "This_can't_happen_("O"s)! \n", id);
     exit(-666);
  void debugstop (int foo)
         /* can be inserted as a special breakpoint */
     fprintf(stderr, "You rang("O"d)? n", foo);
```

SAT11

155* New material for big clauses. Some of the details about big-clause processing have been postponed to this addendum, in order to keep the section numbering of SAT11 and SAT11K essentially identical.

```
 \begin{split} &\langle \operatorname{Print} \ \operatorname{all} \ \operatorname{the} \ \operatorname{big} \ \operatorname{clauses} \ \operatorname{to} \ \operatorname{stderr} \ \ 155^* \rangle \equiv \\ &  \operatorname{for} \ (c=1; \ c \leq \operatorname{bclauses}; \ c++) \ \{ \\ &  \ \operatorname{fprintf} (\operatorname{stderr}, ""O"d:",c); \quad /* \ \operatorname{show} \ \operatorname{the} \ \operatorname{reference} \ \operatorname{number} \ \operatorname{to} \ \operatorname{the} \ \operatorname{user} \ */ \\ &  \ \operatorname{for} \ (\operatorname{la} = \operatorname{cinx}[c].\operatorname{addr}; \ \operatorname{la} < \operatorname{cinx}[c-1].\operatorname{addr}; \ \operatorname{la} ++) \\ &  \ \operatorname{fprintf} (\operatorname{stderr}, "\"O"s"O".8s", \operatorname{litname}(\operatorname{cmem}[\operatorname{la}])); \\ &  \ \operatorname{fprintf} (\operatorname{stderr}, "\n"); \\ &  \ \rbrace \end{split}  This code is used in section 152*.
```

156* Here I move the remaining free literals to the left of their clauses, if at most θk of the original k literals are now free. This parameter θ can be tuned by the user, as an integer multiple of 1/64; I'm trying $\theta = 25/64$ as a default.

```
\langle Swap out all big clauses that contain ll 156^* \rangle \equiv
  for (o, tla = kinx[ll].addr, tls = kinx[ll].size; tls; tla++, tls--) {
     o, c = kmem[tla];
     o, cia = cinx[c].addr, cis = cinx[c].size;
     o, kk = cinx[c-1].addr - cia; /* the original size of clause c */
     cis—; /* this many free literals remain */
     if (cis \leq (theta64 * kk) \gg 6) (Swap c out while gathering its free literals 157*)
     else
        for ( ; cis; cia++) {
          o, u = cmem[cia];
          if (isfree(u)) {
             \langle \text{Swap } c \text{ out of } u \text{'s clause list } 158^* \rangle;
             cis --;
This code is used in section 69*.
157* (Swap c out while gathering its free literals 157*) \equiv
     for (ci = cia; cis; cia ++) {
       o, u = cmem[cia];
       if (isfree(u)) {
          if (ci \neq cia) ooo, v = cmem[ci], cmem[ci] = u, cmem[cia] = v;
          \langle \text{Swap } c \text{ out of } u \text{'s clause list } 158^* \rangle;
          ci ++, cis --;
This code is used in section 156*.
```

```
158* \langle \text{Swap } c \text{ out of } u \text{'s clause list } 158^* \rangle \equiv
     for (o, su = kinx[u].size - 1, au = ua = kinx[u].addr + su; o, kmem[au] \neq c; au --);
     if (au \neq ua) oo, kmem[au] = kmem[ua], kmem[ua] = c;
     o, kinx[u].size = su;
This code is used in sections 71*, 156*, and 157*.
159* (Swap in all big clauses that contain ll 159*)
  for (o, tls = kinx[ll].size, tla = kinx[ll].addr + tls - 1; tls; tla --, tls --) {
     o, c = kmem[tla];
     for (o, cia = cinx[c].addr, cis = cinx[c].size - 1; cis; cia++) {
        o, u = cmem[cia];
        if (isfree(u)) {
           \langle \text{Swap } c \text{ back in to } u \text{'s clause list } 160^* \rangle;
           cis --:
        }
     }
  }
This code is used in section 82*.
160* \langle Swap c back in to u's clause list 160* \rangle \equiv
  oo, kinx[u].size ++;
This code is used in sections 83* and 159*.
```

161.* The lookahead processes need to take back all updates to big clauses involving literals that lose their tentative values when *cs* increases.

Fortunately all literals are ordered on *rstack* by their truth levels, with the lowest levels nearest the top. This is the place where the partial ordering of the "lookahead forest" must indeed be a forest, not a general permutation poset.

```
\langle \text{Reset } fptr \text{ by removing unfixed literals from } rstack | 161* \rangle \equiv
  while (fptr > rptr) {
     o, u = rstack[fptr - 1];
     if (isfixed(u)) break;
     if (verbose \& show\_qory\_details) fprintf(stderr, "\( \subseteq \mathbb{O} \) s \( \subsete O \). 8 \( \subsete \) lookin \\n", \( litname(bar(u)) \);
     \langle Unreduce all big clauses that contained bar(u) during lookahead 163*\rangle;
This code is used in sections 123*, 130*, and 132*.
162* \langle Reset the doublelook fptr by removing unfixed literals from rstack 162^*\rangle \equiv
  while (fptr > rptr) {
     o, u = rstack[fptr - 1];
     if (isfixed(u)) break;
     fptr --;
     if (verbose & show_doubly_gory_details)
        fprintf(stderr, " ("O"s"O".8s_dlookin) \n", litname(bar(u)));
     \langle Unreduce all big clauses that contained bar(u) during lookahead 163*\rangle;
This code is used in sections 144* and 149*.
```

This code is used in sections 161* and 162*.

```
163.* \( \text{Unreduce all big clauses that contained } bar(u) \) during lookahead 163^*\) \( \text{for } (o, tls = kinx[bar(u)].size, tla = kinx[bar(u)].addr + tls - 1; tls; tla --, tls --) \) \( o, c = kmem[tla]; \) \( o, cis = cinx[c].size + 1; \) \( o, cinx[c].size = cis; \) \}
```

164* This program uses the *clause_weight* table to estimate a clause's potential for further reduction, based solely on its length: A clause of length $k \geq 2$ gets the weight γ^{k-2} , where the parameter γ is controllable by 'g' on the command line. The default $\gamma = 0.21$ agrees roughly with the recommendations of Oliver Kullmann.

```
\langle Global variables 3*\rangle +\equiv int max\_clause; /* length of the longest clause */ float *clause_weight; /* weights given to each length, for k \geq 2 */
```

165.* We dare not let the *clause_weight* entries become zero, because that would defeat the logic by which autarkies are recognized.

```
 \begin{split} &\langle \text{Allocate special arrays 58} \rangle + \equiv \\ & \textit{clause\_weight} = (\textbf{float} *) \; \textit{malloc}(\textit{max\_clause} * \textbf{sizeof}(\textbf{float})); \\ & \textbf{if} \; (\neg \textit{clause\_weight}) \; \{ \\ & \textit{fprintf} \; (\textit{stderr}, \texttt{"Oops}, \texttt{LI}_{\square} \texttt{can't}_{\square} \texttt{allocate}_{\square} \texttt{the}_{\square} \texttt{clause\_weight}_{\square} \texttt{array!} \texttt{""}); \\ & \textit{exit} \; (-10); \\ & \} \\ & \textit{bytes} \; + = \; \textit{max\_clause} \; * \textbf{sizeof} \; (\textbf{float}); \\ & \textit{clause\_weight} \; [2] = 1.0; \\ & \textbf{for} \; \; (k = 3; \; k < \textit{max\_clause}; \; k + +) \; \textit{o, clause\_weight} \; [k] = \textit{clause\_weight} \; [k - 1] * \textit{gamm} \; + 0.01; \\ \end{aligned}
```

§166 SAT11 INDEX 73

166* Index.

The following sections were changed by the change file: 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11, 24, 25, 27, 30, 31, 32, 38, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 68, 69, 71, 72, 82, 83, 86, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 100, 123, 128, 130, 131, 132, 135, 136, 143, 144, 149, 150, 151, 152, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166.

 $cand_arc_alloc: 108, 110.$ $a: \underline{50}$. cands: 89, 96, 98, 101, 102, 103, 104, 106, 110. $aa: \underline{2}^*$ *acc*: <u>93</u>* cc: 2*, 40*, 41* active: 106, <u>108</u>, 113, 115. **cdata**: 88, 89, 90, 103. addr: 26, 27, 29, 30, 32, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 49, cdata_struct: 88. 50, 51, 53, 57, 68, 71, 73, 76, 79, 82, 83, 93, cell: $\underline{6}$, 14, 20, 47. 100, 111, 125, 128, 135, 136, 137, 139, 145, cells: 7, 9, 10, 11, 22. 150, 151, 155, 156, 158, 159, 163. $cells_per_chunk: \underline{6}, 14, 20.$ alloc: 26, 43, 49, 50, 57, 73, 76, 79, 128, 137. $check_rank: 104.$ alpha: 3*, 4*, 93* child: 118, 122. arc: <u>107</u>, 108, 109, 110. chooseit: $\underline{59}$, 62. arc_struct: 107. **chunk**: $\underline{6}$, 7^* , 14, 20. arcs: <u>34</u>, 106, 111, 118. **chunk_struct**: $\underline{6}$. $arcs_done$: 110, 111. *ch8*: <u>5,</u> 16, 35, 61, 94, 140, 153. $argc: \underline{2}, 4.*$ $ci: \underline{2}^*, 71^*, 157^*$ $argv: \underline{2}, 4.$ cia: 2*, 71*, 83*, 156*, 157*, 159*. cinx: 24, 27, 30, 32, 38, 40, 41, 44, 71, 83, 135, au: 2,* 71,* 73, 76, 128,* 137, 158.* $av: \ \underline{2}^*, 73, 79.$ 136,* 150,* 151,* 155,* 156,* 159,* 163,* $avail\colon \ \underline{48},\ 49,\ 54,\ 55,\ 56,\ 57.$ cis: 2*, 71*, 83*, 156*, 157*, 159*, 163*. $aw: \ \underline{2}^*, 76, 79.$ clause_weight: 4, 135, 164, 165. clauses: 7,*9, 10, 11,*12, 16, 19, 22, 40.* backtrack: 84. $bad_cell: 7^*, 12, 14, 20.$ cmem: 24, 27, 30, 32, 38, 41, 44, 71, 83, 136, $bad_tmp_var: \ \ \underline{7}, \ 12, \ 13, \ 21.$ 151, 155, 156, 157, 159. badlit: 32,36,37,38,39,40,44,49,57,65,66,115. conflict: 68*, 72*, 84, 125. bar: <u>25</u>*, 42*, 43, 69*, 71*, 73, 74, 76, 77, 78, 79, 82*, confusion: 40,* 44,* 47, 101, 122, 140, <u>154</u>. contra: 84, 125, 130, 135, 148. 85, 93, 100, 111, 115, 118, 127, 128, 129, 132, 135, 137, 139, 147, 150, 153, 161, 162, 163, cs: 24*, 40*, 60, 61, 62, 64, 68*, 123*, 125, 126, 129, 130, 131, 132, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, base: 119, 123, 124, 143, 144, 148. bcells: 7, 11, 38, 40, 44. 147, 148, 161* bclauses: 7*, 11*, 32*, 38*, 40*, 44*, 155*. cur_cell : 7^* , 12, 14, 20, 41, 47. $cur_chunk\colon \quad \underline{7}, ^*14, \ 20, \ 47.$ **bdata**: 24,* <u>26,</u> 38.* bdata_struct: 26. cur_tmp_var: 7, 12, 13, 16, 17, 21, 46, 47. $cur_vchunk: 7^*, 13, 21, 37, 47.$ $best_score$: 140. debugstop: 154.bestlit: 59, <u>60</u>, 85, 140. bimp: 24,*25,*26, 29, 38,*43, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 57, decision: 28, 59, 85.58, 68, 73, 74, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 93, 100, 106, delta: 3^* , 4^* , 59. 111, 117, 125, 128, 137, 139, 145. dl_contra: 84, 143, 145, 148, 150. bptr: 24, 69, 71, 135, 136, 150, 151. *dl_fail*: <u>34</u>, 39, 142. branch: 28, 33, 59, 62, 80, 84, 85, 152* dl_last_change: 141, 144*, 147. dl_max_iter: 3, 4, 141, 142, 143, bstack: 24,* 27,* 45,* 69,* 71,* 135,* 136,* 150,* 151,* $dl_rho: 3^*, 4^*, 142.$ bstamp: 34, 39, 66, 67, 73, 76, 79, 106, 111. buf: 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 16, 19. $dl_trigger: 4, 141, 142.$ buf_size: 3^* , 4^* , 8, 9, 10. dl_truth: 141, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148. bytes: 2, 3, 38, 39, 45, 54, 57, 58, 75, 90, 109, $dlook_cs: \underline{60}, 147.$ 110, 121, 134, 165* $dlook_done: \underline{144}$ * c: 2* 30* 31* 103. $dlook_on: 144,* 148.$ cand: 88, 89, 90, 98, 101, 102, 103, 104, 106, 110. dlooki: 141, 144.* cand_arc: 34, 108, 109, 111, 114, 117, 118. dlooklit: 141, 143, 146, 147, 148, 149,*

done: 2^* , 59. enter_level: 62, <u>152</u>* eptr: 60, 62, 63, 64, 68, 81, 125, 132, 136, 145, 149. exit: 4*8, 9, 10, 11*13, 14, 16, 38*39, 45*54, 57, 58, 90, 109, 121, 134, 154, 165* fflush: 33, 40* fgets: 9, 10. filler: $\underline{34}$. finish: 50, 51. $fix_u: \underline{73}, 76.$ $fix_v: 73, 79.$ fl: 123*, <u>124</u>. $foo: \underline{154}.$ fopen: 4.* forcedlit: 39, 42, 64, <u>124</u>, 129. forcedlits: 40,* 42,* 59, 62, 64, 123,* 124, 129, 140, 152* found: 54.fprintf: 2,*4,*8, 9, 10, 11,*13, 14, 16, 19, 22, 31,* 32*33, 38*39, 41*42*45*49, 54, 57, 58, 59, 61, 64, 68, 69, 71, 76, 79, 83, 84, 90, 94, 105, 109, 111, 115, 121, 123, 125, 126, 127, 134, 135, 136, 137, 139, 140, 145, 146, 150, 151, 153, 154, 155, 161, 162, 165. fptr: 60, 62, 63, 64, 81, 82, 84, 123, 132, 136, 149*, 161*, 162* free: 20, 21, 47. freeloc: 24,* 31,* 38,* 70. freevar: 24, 25, 31, 38, 70, 95, 98, 99, 153. freevars: 24, 31, 38, 70, 82, 87, 95, 97, 98, 99, 140, 153. gamm: 3*, 4*, 165*. gb_init_rand : 8. gb_next_rand : 15. $qb_rand: 3*$ $gb_unif_rand: 38.$ * $h: \underline{2}^*$ $hack_clean: \underline{41}^*$ $hack_in: \underline{12}.$ $hack_out$: $\underline{41}$ * hash: 7^* , 8, 17. $hash_bits: \underline{7}^*, 15, 16.$ hbits: 3, 4, 8, 9, 16. height: 118. $hh: \ \underline{2}^*, \ 118.$ i: $\underline{2}$ * $id: \underline{154}.$ idata: 24, 26, 58, 75. idata_struct: 26. imems: 2^* , 3^* inactive: $\underline{32}$ *

infty: $\underline{115}$.

 $init_cand: 98.$ *iptr*: <u>24</u>,* <u>28</u>, 59, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80, 138. *iptr_max*: <u>24</u>* 58, 75. iscontrary: 30, 32, 60, 68, 72, 125, 126, 135, 136, 139, 145, 146, 150, 151, isfixed: 60, 68, 72, 76, 79, 125, 126, 135, 136, 139, 145, 146, 150, 151, 161, 162, isfree: 30, 32, 60, 71, 93, 140, 156, 157, 159. istack: 24,*26, 34, 58, 65, 74, 77, 78, 80, 138. istamp: 34, 39, 65, 66, 67, 74, 77, 78, 138, 142. $j: \quad \underline{2}^*, \ \underline{31}^*, \ \underline{50}.$ *jj*: <u>2</u>* 41* 44* 103. $k: \quad \underline{2}, \ \underline{26}, \ \underline{30}, \ \underline{31}, \ \underline{33}, \ \underline{50}.$ kinx: 24, 25, 27, 30, 32, 38, 40, 41, 42, 44, 71, 82, 83, 93, 100, 135, 150, 156, 158, 159, 160, 163, kk: 2*, 50, 54, 55, 156* kmem: 24,* 27,* 30,* 32,* 38,* 44,* 71,* 83,* 135,* 150,* 156,* 158,* 159,* 163.* known: 24.* kval: 48, 49, 50, 54, 55, 56, 57. *l*: 2*, 29, 30*, 31*, 50. *la*: 2*, 29, 30*, 31*, 32*, 40*, 41*, 43, 44*, 49, 68*, 76, 79, 93, 100, 111, 125, 135, 136, 137, 145, 150* 151* 155* last_base: 124, 143, 144, 148. last_change: 123,* <u>124</u>. $last_vchunk: \underline{7}^*, 37, 47.$ ldata: <u>119</u>, 120, 121. ldata_struct: 119. len: $\underline{35}$, 46, 86, 98. lev: <u>33</u>, 86* level: 24,* 59, 62, 64, 80, 84, 85, 96,* 97,* 123,* 142, 152* levelcand: 3, 4, 96, 97. lfptr: 60, 68, 125, 145. link: 34, 104, 105, 113, 115, 118, 122. linkb: 48, 49, 52, 54, 55, 56, 57. linkf: 48, 49, 52, 54, 55, 56, 57. *lit*: <u>26</u>, 74, 77, 78, 80, <u>119</u>, 122, 123, 138, 140, 144. lit_struct: 34. literal: 24, 34, 39. litname: 29, 30, 32, 35, 41, 59, 68, 69, 71, 76, 79, 83,*105, 123,*125, 126, 127, 135,*136,*137, 139, 145, 146, 150, 151, 153, 155, 161, 162, lits: 36, 37, 57, 121, 134. *ll*: <u>2</u>, 63, 69, 70, 82, 114, 126, 127, 128, 132, 135, 136*, 149*, 150*, 151*, 156*, 159* $lmem: \underline{24}, 34, 39, 65, 66, 73, 74, 76, 77, 78, 79,$ 104, 105, 106, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 118, 122, 126, 127, 128, 138, 140, 142. lng: 5, 16, 17, 46.

look_bad: 84, 115, 130* $old_chunk: \underline{20}.$ $look_cs: \underline{60}, 129.$ old_looklit: <u>124</u>, 139. *look_done*: <u>123</u>* $old_vchunk: \underline{21}.$ look_on: 123,* 130.* ols: 2^* , 139. looki: 123, 124, 141. oo: 2,*38,*39, 41,*43, 44,*50, 51, 52, 53, 55, 56, 57, looklit: 124, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 71,*73, 76, 79, 81, 98, 101, 102, 106, 111, 115, 137, 138, 139, 141, 142, 143, 148. 118, 122, 126, 128, 137, 140, 158, 160, looks: 119, 120, 122, 123, 140, 142, 143, 144, ooo: <u>2</u>, 71, 114, 157. $lp: 2^*, 68^*, 125, 145.$ out_file: 3,* 4,* 40,* 41,* 153. lptr: 28, 33, 59.out_name: 3^* , 4^* *ls*: 2* 29, 30* 31* 32* 43, 44* 68* 76, 79, 93* 100* p: 2* 12, 31* 50. 111, 125, 135, 137, 145, 150, parent: <u>34</u>, 104, 105, 112, 114, 115, 118, 122, 126, 127. main: $\underline{2}^*$ pfx: 35, 86, 98.malloc: 8, 13, 14, 38, 39, 45, 57, 58, 90, 109, plevel: 59, 86, 89, 98. 121, 134, 165* max_clause: 11,* 164,* 165.* pos: 140.max_prelook_arcs: 3, 4, 106, 109, 111. poslit: 25,* 94,* 99, 104, 106, 110. $post: \underline{1}22.$ max_use: 24*, 44*, 45*. maxcand: 89, 96, 97, 101, 102. $pp: \ \underline{2}^*, \ 118.$ prefix: 84, 85, 86, 89, 98. mean: 101.mem: 3, 24, 26, 27, 29, 43, 48, 49, 51, 52, 53, prev: $\underline{5}$, $\underline{6}$, 13, 14, 20, 21, 47. 57, 58, 68, 73, 76, 79, 93, 100, 111, 125, primary_file: 3, 4, 9, 10. 128, 137, 139, 145. $primary_name: \underline{3}, 4, 10.$ memfree: 48, 49, 50.primary_vars: 3, 9, 10, 98. memk: 48, 49, 54, 57, 58. $print_bimp: \underline{29}.$ memk_max: 3,* 4,* 48, 54, 57, 58. print_clause: 30* $memk_max_default: 3, 48.$ $print_full_kinx: 30.$ * mems: 2, 3, 4, 26, 33, 38, 48, 50, 54, 59, 104. *print_kinx*: <u>30</u>* min: <u>34</u>, 104, 113, 114, 118. $print_near_truths$: 61. $mincutoff: 3^*, 4^*, 96^*, 97^*$ $print_proto_truths$: 61. $print_real_truths$: 61. $n: \underline{50}$. name: <u>5</u>, 16, 17, <u>35</u>, 46, 61, 94, 140, 153. print_state: 4, 33, 59. **ndata**: 24* <u>28</u>, 39. $print_state_cutoff: \underline{3}, 4, 33.$ ndata_struct: 28. print_truths: 61. printf: 29, 30, 84, 153. near_truth: 60, 61, 62, 64, 72, 123, 130. $promote: \underline{62}, 64.$ $neg: \underline{140}.$ neglit: $\underline{25}$ * proto_truth: 40, 60, 61, 123, 125, 126, 129, 130, $new_chunk: \underline{14}.$ 139, 141, 142, 143* $new_vchunk: \underline{13}.$ $pu: \underline{2}^*$ $pv: \underline{2}^*$ $new_weighted_binaries$: 127. $next{:}\quad \underline{5},\ 17,\ \underline{107},\ 111,\ 114,\ 118.$ $q: \quad \underline{2}^*, \ \underline{31}^*, \ \underline{50}.$ no_newbies: <u>89</u>, 98. $qq: \underline{2}^*$ nodes: 2, 3, 54, 59. $r: \ \ 2^*, \ 33, \ 50, \ 103, \ 115.$ nogood: 99, 100* $random_seed: 3, 4, 8.$ non_clause: 7,* 11,* 12, 16, 18, 19. rank: 34, 104, 106, 113, 114, 115, 122. nstack: 24, 28, 33, 39, 59, 62, 80, 84, 85, 152, rating: 88, 89, 90, 94, 98, 101, 102, 103, 105, 115. nullclauses: 7^* , 9, 10, 11, 19. real_truth: 24, 60, 61, 62, 69, 100, 140. $O: \underline{2}^*$ resize: 43, <u>50</u>, 73, 76, 79, 128, 137. o: <u>2</u>* root: 118, 122.octa: $\underline{5}$, 35. rptr: 24,* 28, 31,* 33, 59, 62, 63, 64, 82,* 84, 123,* offset: <u>119</u>, 122, 123, 144. 125, 153, 161, 162. ola: 2^* , 139. rr: 115.

rstack: 24, 31, 33, 39, 62, 63, 68, 72, 80, 81, 82, $timp: \underline{24}^*$ 125, 128, 132, 136, 145, 149, 153, 161, 162, *tip*: <u>107</u>, 111, 114, 118. $s: \ \underline{2}^*, \ \underline{50}, \ \underline{94}^*$ tla: 2*, 71*, 83*, 135*, 150*, 156*, 159*, 163*. sanity: 27*, 31*, 152*. tll: 2* 69* 71* 82* 83* tls: 2, 71, 83, 135, 150, 156, 159, 163. sanity_checking: 31,* 152,* satisfied: 84, 87, 99, 140.tmem: 24.* **tmp_var**: <u>5,</u> 6, 7, 8, 12, 41. $score: \underline{140}.$ serial: 5, 17, 41* $tmp_var_struct: \underline{5}$. **tpair**: 24,* <u>27,</u>* 45.* settled: 105, 106, <u>108</u>, 115, 118. show_basics: 2, 3, 10, 84. tpair_struct: 27* $tryit \colon \ \underline{59}, \ 85.$ show_big_clauses: 3,* 152.* tt: 2* show_choices: 3^* , 42 * , 59. u: 2*, 27*, 31* show_choices_max: 3^* , 4^* , 59. *ua*: <u>2</u>*, 71*, 73, 76, 136*, 151*, 158*. show_details: 3,64,68,69,71,76,79,83,111. **uint**: 2*, 3*, 5, 7*, 24*, 26, 27*, 28, 29, 30*, 34, 36, show_doubly_gory_details: 3,* 145, 146, 150,* 151,* 38*39, 50, 54, 57, 60, 61, 67, 88, 89, 98, 107, 119, 124, 133, 134, 141. show_gory_details: 3,* 115, 125, 126, 127, 135,* **ullng**: $\underline{2}$, 3, 7, 12, 41, 93, 124, 142. 136,* 137, 139, 140, 161.* unsat: 42, 84. show_looks: 3*, 123* untagged: 34, 104, 106, 111, 114, 118. show_scores: 3^* , 94* $uu: \underline{2}^*, 118.$ $show_strong_comps: \underline{3}^*, 104.$ $u2: \underline{5}.$ $show_unused_vars: \underline{3}, 153.$ v: 2*, 27*, 31* size: <u>26, 27</u>*29, 30*32*40*41*43, 44*49, 50, 57, $va: \ \underline{2}^*, 73, 79.$ 68*71*73, 74, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 82*83*93* var: 5, 13, 21, 47, 88, 98, 104, 106, 110. 100, 111, 125, 128, 135, 137, 138, 139, 145, $var_struct: 35.$ 150, 156, 158, 159, 160, 163. variable: 24,* <u>35</u>, 39. sl: 2*, 80, 137, 138. vars: 7, 9, 10, 17, 22, 31, 37, 38, 39, 46, 61, 90. $special_start: 64, 152.$ * $vars_per_vchunk: \underline{5}, 13, 21.$ $ss: \underline{2}^*$ **vchunk**: 5, 7, 13, 21. sscanf: 4*vchunk_struct: 5. stamp: 5, 12, 17, 18, 24, 25, 38, 60, 61, 69, 72, $vcomp: \underline{34}, 104, 105, 115, 122.$ 81, 82, 98, 100, 126, 139, 146. verbose: 2, 3, 4, 10, 42, 59, 64, 68, 69, 71, 76, stamptrue: <u>60</u>, 68*, 125, 145. 79, 83, 84, 94, 104, 111, 115, 123, 125, 126, stderr: 2,*4,*8, 9, 10, 11,*13, 14, 16, 19, 22, 31,*32,* 127, 135, 136, 137, 139, 140, 145, 146, 150, 33, 38*39, 42*45*49, 54, 57, 58, 59, 61, 64, 68* 151,* 152,* 153, 161,* 162.* 69*71*76, 79, 83*84, 90, 94*105, 109, 111, 115, vmem: 24, 35, 39, 46, 61, 86, 94, 98, 140, 153. 121, 123, 125, 126, 127, 134, 135, 136, 137, 139, $vv: \ \underline{2}^*, \ 114, \ 118.$ 140, 145, 146, 150, 151, 154, 155, 161, 162, 165. $v\theta$: 2* stdin: 1, 7, 9. $w: \underline{2}^*$ strlen: 9, 10.weighted_new_binaries: 126, 132, 133, 135.* su: 2, 71, 73, 74, 76, 128, 137, 158. wnb: 34, 126, 127, 128, 140, 142. $sum\colon \ \underline{89},\ 93,\ 94,\ 98,\ 101.$ wptr: 132, 133, 135, 137, 143, 147. $sv: \ \underline{2}^*, 73, 77, 79.$ wstack: 132, 133, 134, 135, 137, 147. $sw: \ \underline{2}^*, 76, 78, 79.$ $ww: \underline{2}^*$ t: 2* $x: \ \underline{2}^*, \ \underline{61}.$ tdata: 24,* 27,* 38.* $xl: \ \underline{2}^*, 70.$ tdata_struct: 27* y: $\underline{2}$ * theta64: 3, 4, 156. thevar: 25, 31, 35, 60, 69, 70, 72, 81, 82, 86, 100, 105, 115, 126, 139, 140, 146. thresh: 3^* , 4^* , 59.

timeout: $3^*, 4^*, 59$.

```
\langle Add compensation resolvents from bar(u); but goto fix_u if u is forced true 76\rangle Used in section 73.
\langle \text{Add compensation resolvents from } bar(v); \text{ but goto } fix\_v \text{ if } v \text{ is forced true } 79 \rangle Used in section 73.
\langle Allocate a block p of size s + s 54\rangle Used in section 53.
(Allocate special arrays 58, 90, 109, 121, 134, 165*) Used in section 37.
(Allocate the main arrays 38*, 39) Used in section 37.
 Allocate bstack 45^* Used in section 44^*.
 Begin the processing of a new node 59 \ Used in section 152*.
 Build kinx and kmem from the stored big clauses 44^* Used in section 40^*.
 Bump bstamp to a unique value 66 \ Used in sections 73 and 106.
 Bump istamp to a unique value 65 \ Used in sections 62 and 64.
 Check consistency 47 \rangle Used in section 37.
 Check for necessary assignments 139 \ Used in section 126.
 Check the sanity of bimp and mem 49 \ Used in section 31^*.
 Check the sanity of cinx and cmem, kinx and kmem 32* Used in section 31*.
 Choose bestlit, which will be the next branch tried 140 \) Used in section 59.
 Compute rating[x] 94* Used in section 95*.
 Compute sum, the score of l 93*\rangle Used in section 94*.
 Construct a suitable forest 117 \ Used in section 87.
 Construct the look table 122 V Used in section 117.
 Convert the windfalls to binary implications from looklit 137 \rangle Used in sections 132* and 143*.
 Copy all the relevant arcs to cand_arc 110 \ Used in section 106.
(Copy all the temporary cells to the bimp, mem, cinx, cmem, kinx, and kmem arrays in proper format 40^*)
    Used in section 37.
\langle Copy all the temporary variable nodes to the vmem array in proper format 46 \rangle Used in section 37.
 Copy the arcs from l into the cand\_arc array 111 \rangle Used in section 110.
 Determine the strong components; goto look_bad if there's a contradiction 104 \rangle Used in section 87.
 Discard binary implications at the current level 80 \ Used in section 84.
 Do a double lookahead from looklit, if that seems advisable 142 \rangle Used in section 126.
 Do the prelookahead 87 \ Used in section 123*.
 Double look ahead from looklit; goto contra if a contradiction arises 143* \rangle Used in section 142.
 Doublelook ahead at consequences of l, and goto contra if a contradiction is found 146 \( \rightarrow \) Used in section 144*.
 Exploit an autarky 127 \rangle Used in section 126.
\langle Explore one step from the current vertex v, possibly moving to another current vertex and calling it v 114\rangle
    Used in section 112.
(Find the heights and the child/sibling links 118) Used in section 117.
 Find cur\_tmp\_var \neg name in the hash table at p 17 \rangle Used in section 12.
 Force dlooklit to be (dl) false, and complement it 147 \( \) Used in sections 146 and 148.
 Force looklit to be (proto) false, and complement it 129 \ Used in sections 126, 127, 130*, and 139.
 Global variables 3*, 7*, 24*, 36, 48, 60, 67, 89, 108, 120, 124, 133, 141, 164* Used in section 2*.
 Handle a duplicate literal 18 \rangle Used in section 12.
 If all clauses are satisfied, goto satisfied 99 \( \) Used in section 98.
 If l implies any unsatisfied clauses, goto nogood 100* Used in section 99.
 Increase iptr 75 Used in sections 74, 77, 78, and 138.
(Initialize everything 8, 15) Used in section 2*.
\langle Initialize mem with empty bimp lists 57\rangle Used in section 38*.
\langle Input the clause in buf 11*\rangle Used in sections 9 and 10.
 Input the clauses 9 Used in section 2^*.
 Input the primary variables 10 \rangle Used in section 9.
(Insert the cells for the literals of clause c 41*) Used in section 40*.
(Install a new chunk 14) Used in section 12.
(Install a new vchunk 13) Used in section 12.
```

```
Look ahead and gather data about how to make the next branch; but goto look_bad if a contradiction
     arises 123* Used in section 59.
\langle \text{Look ahead at consequences of } l, \text{ and goto } look\_bad \text{ if a conflict is found } 126 \rangle Used in section 123*.
(Make all vertices unseen and all arcs untagged 106) Used in section 104.
\langle Make sure that bar(u) has an istack entry 74\rangle Used in sections 73, 128*, and 137.
 Make sure that bar(v) has an istack entry 77
                                                        Used in section 73.
 Make sure that bar(w) has an istack entry 78 Used in sections 76 and 79.
\langle Make sure that looklit has an istack entry 138\rangle Used in section 137.
\langle Make vertex v active 113\rangle Used in sections 112 and 114.
 Make a a free block of size 1 \ll k 56 \quad Used in section 53.
 Make ll equivalent to looklit 128* Used in section 127.
 Make p + (1 \ll kk) a free block of size 1 \ll kk 55 \ Used in section 54.
 Move to branch 1 85 \ Used in section 84.
(Move cur_cell backward to the previous cell 20) Used in sections 19 and 41*.
 Move cur_tmp_var backward to the previous temporary variable 21 \rangle Used in section 46.
 Pare down the candidates to at most maxcand 101 \rangle Used in section 97*.
\langle Perform a depth-first search with l as root, finding the strong components of all vertices reachable from
     l 112 \rightarrow Used in section 104.
⟨ Preselect a set of candidate variables for lookahead 97*⟩ Used in section 87.
(Print all the big clauses to stderr 155*) Used in section 152*.
 Print the solution found 153 \ Used in section 84.
 Print the strong components 105 \ Used in section 104.
 Process the command line 4^* Used in section 2^*.
 Promote near-truth to real-truth; but goto conflict if a contradiction arises 63 \ Used in section 62.
\langle Propagate binary doublelookahead implications of l 145\rangle Used in sections 149* and 150*.
(Propagate binary implications of l; goto conflict if a contradiction arises 68*) Used in sections 62, 64, 72*,
\langle Propagate binary lookahead implications of l; goto contra if a contradiction arises 125\rangle Used in sections 132*
⟨ Put all free participants into the initial list of candidates 98⟩ Used in section 97*.
\langle \text{ Put the ratings in } rating 95^* \rangle Used in section 97*.
 Put the remaining doublelook literal of c into bstack 151* \rangle Used in section 150*.
\langle \text{ Put the remaining literal of } c \text{ into } bstack \ 136* \rangle Used in section 135*.
\langle \text{Put the variable name beginning at } buf[j] \text{ in } cur\_tmp\_var \neg name \text{ and compute its hash code } h \ 16 \rangle Used
     in section 12.
\langle \operatorname{Record} thevar(u) \text{ as a participant } 86^* \rangle Used in section 71*.
 Recover from a double lookahead contradiction 148 \ Used in section 84.
 Recover from a lookahead contradiction 130* Used in section 84.
 Recover from conflicts 84 \ Used in section 152*.
(Reduce all big clauses that contain tll; if any become binary, swap them out and put them on bstack 71*)
     Used in section 69*.
(Remove all variables of the current clause 19) Used in sections 10 and 11*.
\langle \text{Remove } p \text{ from its } avail \text{ list } 52 \rangle Used in sections 51 and 54.
\langle \text{Remove } thevar(ll) \text{ from the } freevar \text{ list } 70 \rangle Used in section 69*.
\langle Remove v and all its successors on the active stack from the tree, and mark them as a strong component
     of the digraph 115 \rangle Used in section 114.
Report the successful completion of the input phase 22 Used in section 2*.
 Reset the doublelook fptr by removing unfixed literals from rstack 162* Used in sections 144* and 149*.
 Reset fptr by removing unfixed literals from rstack 161* Used in sections 123*, 130*, and 132*.
(Resize when the buddy is free 51) Used in section 50.
(Resize when the buddy is reserved 53) Used in section 50.
```

SAT11 NAMES OF THE SECTIONS 79

Run through iterations of doublelook analogous to the iterations of ordinary lookahead 144* Used in section 143*. \langle Scan and record a variable; negate it if $i \equiv 1 \mid 12 \rangle$ Used in section 11*. \langle Select the maxcand best-rated candidates 102 \rangle Used in section 101. Set up the main data structures 37 Vsed in section 2^* . Sift cand[j] up 103 \ Used in section 102. Solve the problem 152^* Used in section 2^* . Store a binary clause in bimp 43 \rangle Used in section 41*. Store a unary clause in *forcedlit* 42^* Used in section 41^* . Subroutines 29, 30*, 31*, 33, 50, 61, 154 \rangle Used in section 2*. Swap in all big clauses that contain $ll\ 159^*$ Used in section 82*. Swap out all big clauses that contain $ll\ 156^*$ Used in section 69*. Swap c back in to u's clause list 160^* Used in sections 83* and 159*. Swap c out of u's clause list 158* Used in sections 71*, 156*, and 157*. Swap c out while gathering its free literals 157* Used in section 156*. Type definitions 5, 6, 26, 27*, 28, 34, 35, 88, 107, 119 \(\) Used in section 2*. Unreduce all big clauses that contain tll; if they had become binary, swap them back in 83* Used in section 82*. (Unreduce all big clauses that contained bar(u) during lookahead 163*) Used in sections 161* and 162*. Unset the nearly true literals 81 \ Used in section 84. Unset the really true literals 82* Used in section 84. (Update data structures for all consequences of the forced literals discovered during the lookahead; but **goto** conflict if a contradiction arises 64 \rangle Used in section 59. \langle Update data structures for all consequences of l; but **goto** conflict if a contradiction arises 62 \rangle Used in section 59. \langle Update data structures for the real truth of ll; but **goto** conflict if a contradiction arises 69* \rangle Used in section 63. ⟨ Update dlookahead data structures for consequences of dlooklit; but goto dl-contra if a contradiction arises 149* Used in sections 143*, 146, and 147. $\langle \text{Update dlookahead data structures for the truth of } ll; \text{ but goto } dl_contra$ if a contradiction arises 150* \rangle Used in section 149*. (Update for a new binary clause $u \vee v$ 73) Used in section 72*. Update for a potentially new binary clause $u \vee v$ 72* Used in section 69*. (Update lookahead data structures for consequences of looklit; but **goto** contra if a contradiction arises 132*) Used in sections 126 and 129. $\langle \text{Update lookahead data structures for the truth of } ll; \text{ but goto } contra \text{ if a contradiction arises } 135* \rangle$ Used in section 132*.

SAT11

	Section	Page
Intro	1	1
The I/O wrapper	5	6
SAT solving, version 11		
Initializing the real data structures	36	20
Buddy system redux		26
Updating the data structures	59	31
Downdating the data structures		40
Preselection		43
Strong components	104	48
The lookahead forest	116	53
Looking ahead	123	56
Double-looking ahead		64
Doing it	152	69
New material for big clauses		70
Indox	166	79